

Appendix 4 - REPRESENTATIONS – Local Plan Regulation 18 Preferred Policy Options Consultation – Health and Wellbeing

STATUTORY CONSULTEES

Q12. Do you think the Preferred Policy Option for Health and Wellbeing is the right approach?						
SC_P1_Sport England	Sport England	Yes	This policy option is supported due to its requirement for development to be designed to provide the necessary infrastructure to encourage physical exercise This would be consistent with Sport England's Public Health England's Active Design guidance https://www.sportengland.org/how-we-can-help/facilitiesand-planning/design-and-cost-guidance/active-design . This would also accord with paragraphs 91 and 92 of the NPPF and Sport England's 'Uniting the Movement' Strategy. The policy option is also supported as requires HIAs to be prepared in accordance with Hertfordshire County Council's guidance for major developments and part of a HIA would be expected to include the consideration of the opportunities for encouraging physical activity through the design of a development. I would also like to point out an inconsistency in part (3) of the policy which states that HIAs are required for residential developments over 100 or more dwellings while paragraph 6.11 of the reasoned justification refers to 50 homes. It is understood that 100 or more dwellings if the correct threshold for HIAs.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Supports policy as consistent with Sport England's guidance The correct threshold for Health Impact Assessments are for 100 dwellings (as stated in the draft policy) and not (as set out paragraph 6.11 of the reasoned justification 50 homes. 	Noted Agreed. Amendment to paragraph 6.11 to ensure consistency.	Change to reasoned justification at 6.11 To ensure that Health and Wellbeing is considered in proposals for development we will require that Health Impact Assessments (HIAs) are submitted with planning applications for major residential developments of 50 100 or more dwellings and for non-residential developments of 1,000sqm or more in accordance with the Hertfordshire Public Health's Position Statement on HIAs available at.....'
SC_00020_Chorleywood Parish Council	Chorleywood Parish Council		Overall, we agree that the Preferred Policy Option for Health and Wellbeing is the right approach because it: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recognises that Local Plan policies should support population health States that all development will provide infrastructure that encourages physical exercise and health, including accessible open space, vegetation and landscaping, sport and recreation facilities, cultural facilities and safe, well promoted, walking and cycling routes <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Where new health facilities they will be located where there is a choice of sustainable transport options Sets clear thresholds for when a development must complete a Health Impact Assessment (HIA) It recognises that many health issues can be overcome by well-designed developments that consider location, density and mix of land uses and the 'designing in' of health and wellbeing as an essential part of the development. This includes active travel, multi-functional open space and high-quality urban environments to ensure that the setting of the housing and development within the neighbourhood area also contribute to the health and wellbeing of individuals. <p>The policy should build in more detail about the need to ensure that new sites are properly connected with local facilities and services for walking and cycling to encourage these activities.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Support overall approach The policy should build in more detail about the need to ensure that new sites are properly connected with local facilities and services for walking and cycling to encourage these activities. 	Noted. Policy option 26 responds to the connectivity and accessibility of all new proposals. All policies in the plan should be considered together.	No change
SC_00023_Croxley Green Parish Council	Croxley Green Parish Council		The current policy 11(2) is purely reactive; there should be a more proactive policy to secure suitable provision within the District. There is an urgent need to provide a suitable site (or sites) for new health care provision within Croxley Green. The present health and medical facilities in Croxley Green are inadequate to meet the needs of the present population of Croxley Green. The Parish Council considers that providing better health facilities, in particular doctors' surgeries with the capacity to deliver a wide range of health services for the existing and projected population, should be the priority for development in Croxley Green before any more residential properties are built in the area. We draw particular attention to the detailed response submitted by our local doctors' surgeries about the urgent need for both to have larger and more modern premises to be able to meet current demands and provide a wider range of services locally in line with changing NHS requirements.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The current policy 11(2) is purely reactive; there should be a more proactive policy to secure suitable provision within the District. 	Noted. The Strategic Joint Needs Assessment will identify what new healthcare infrastructure would be required over the plan period.	Do we have a joint Strategic Needs Assessment?
SC_00024_Abbots Langley Parish Council	Abbots Langley Parish Council		Agree in principle, but surely this has to also be taken to the base level of community design, not just at a district level, since covid, there has been an upsurge in people looking more directly at their surrounding and the effect on their wellbeing, the council should make a requirement of this and potentially use the point system as set by the RIBA.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Policy in its current state is lacking, and in needs to be in an enforceable statement and wants the council to adopt the RIBA the Social Value Toolkit (SVT) and the general design principles for developing Wellbeing focus on the design principles of permeability, external social spaces and mixed-use developments. 	Noted. The NPPF sets out national space standards for residential developments and design guidance. The Councils SA includes a community health impact assessment.	No action
			The RIBA has developed the Social Value Toolkit (SVT) to evaluate the impact on design of developments from housing through to communities and into other fields. The SVT applies monetary results for various results, for instance: - 2. I feel in control of my life – currently valued at £15,894 per annum by SVT. 3. I talk to my neighbours regularly – currently valued at £4,511 by SVT. 4. I feel a sense of belonging in my neighbourhood – currently valued at £3,753 by SVT. 5. I am able to take frequent mild exercise – currently valued at £3,537 by SVT. 6.			

Appendix 4 - REPRESENTATIONS – Local Plan Regulation 18 Preferred Policy Options Consultation – Health and Wellbeing

			I am active in a tenant's group – currently valued at £8,116 by SVT. The general design principles for developing Wellbeing focus on the design principles of permeability, external social spaces and mixed-use developments. 1. Prioritise placemaking that expresses identity and territory. 2. Create secure places for privacy. 3. Create places for social interaction. 4. Create vibrant mixed-use places. 5. Provide high quality permeable links to social amenities. 6. Provide high quality pedestrian public realm. 7. Create inclusive places for community interaction. 8. Create secure places with overlooking views. The above points could be mandatory objectives within the planning system for all new development, and could be a standard to assess all existing communities within the district and assess where further input is required? Further documentation is noted https://www.architecture.com/-/media/GatherContent/Work-withUs/Additional-Documents/PlacesWherePeopleWanttoLivepdf.pdf This has approach has gained pace since Covid and should be utilised in all proposed and existing communities, I feel that the Policy in its current state is lacking, and in needs to be in an enforceable statement.			
SC_00026_HCC Growth and Infrastructure	HCC Growth and Infrastructure		Preferred Policy Option 11, Health and Wellbeing Public Health. Paragraph 1 should specify a focus on ensuring that all communities have access to healthy and affordable food options. This could go a step further by committing to limiting the concentration of take-aways and other high-sugar/high-fat food outlets in areas where their concentration is already high, or there are sensitive receptors (e.g. schools). It should be noted that Health Impact Assessments (HIAs) are required for major residential developments of 100 or more dwellings, instead of 50 or more dwellings, as currently stated. LEADS. The county council agrees with the direction of this policy but considers that a stronger reference should be made to addressing health inequalities through identifying areas of Green and Blue Infrastructure deprivation and addressing this through new developments and through design coding where appropriate.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Paragraph 1 should specify a focus on ensuring that all communities have access to healthy and affordable food options. It should be noted that Health Impact Assessments (HIAs) are required for major residential developments of 100 or more dwellings, instead of 50 or more dwellings, as currently stated. The county council agrees with the direction of this policy but considers that a stronger reference should be made to addressing health inequalities through identifying areas of Green and Blue Infrastructure deprivation and addressing this through new developments and through design coding where appropriate. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noted. Agreed amendments to be made to policy. 	Change to reasoned justification at 6.11 To ensure that Health and Wellbeing is considered in proposals for development we will require that Health Impact Assessments (HIAs) are submitted with planning applications for major residential developments of 50 100 or more dwellings and for non-residential developments of 1,000sqm or more in accordance with the Hertfordshire Public Health's Position Statement on HIAs available at.....'
SC_00028_Canal & River Trust	Canal & River Trust		The canal corridor represents a multifunctional asset, providing linkages to local facilities, recreational opportunities, and a safe, convenient and attractive walking and cycling network to promote health and well-being, consistent with the aims of the NPPF. The provision of S106 & CIL contributions towards the improvement of the towpath and access points along the Grand Union canal would aid in unlocking its potential, which in turn, would contribute to enhancing the health and wellbeing of local communities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provision of S106 & CIL contributions towards improvement of towpath and access points along Grand Union canal would aid in unlocking its potential, and contribute to enhancing the health and wellbeing of local communities 	Regulation 59. (1) states that "A charging authority must apply CIL to funding the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of infrastructure to support the development of its area. <i>The 'district' CIL pot can be spent anywhere within the district and is not site specific. A bid must be submitted for anyone wishing to request funds for infrastructure. It will then be looked at and assessed by various bodies before a decision can be made as to whether the funds can be granted from the CIL pot.</i>	No action

Comment under PPO23 to be actioned under PPO11 Health and Wellbeing

SC_P1_00007_Sport England	Sport England	No	In view of the importance attached to planning/designing places to encourage healthy lives in strategic objective 15 of the Local Plan and the focus in policy option 11 on promoting healthy communities through providing the necessary infrastructure to encourage physical exercise, it is surprising that this policy does not have a section specifically on promoting healthier/active communities through design especially as the role of good design in influencing health outcomes is specifically referenced in paragraph 11.7 which supports this policy option. Such a section would be expected to include the principles that developments should incorporate into the design of development to encourage active lifestyles such as connected walking and cycle routes, co-located community facilities, multi-functional open space and active buildings. These are in addition to some of the principles already covered in the policy under different themes that encourage activity which it would not be necessary to repeat (e.g. parts 10, 12, 15, 20, 21 and 22). To support this, specific reference could be made in the policy or reasoned justification to Sport England/Public Health England's Active Design guidance https://www.sportengland.org/how-we-can-help/facilities-and-planning/design-and-cost-guidance/active-design which sets out principles for encouraging physical activity through the design of development. Such an amendment would also provide greater consistency with paragraph 91(c) of the NPPF.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Requests that policy includes a section on promoting healthier/active communities through design as specifically referenced in the supporting text at paragraph 11.7. Section should include the principles that developments should incorporate into the design of development to encourage active lifestyles such as connected walking and cycle routes, co-located community facilities, multi-functional open space and active buildings. Principles already covered in the policy (e.g. parts 10,12,15,20,21 and 22) do not need to be repeated. That reference to Sport England's Active Design Guidance should be referred to in the supporting text. 	Preferred Policy 11 Health and Wellbeing states: (1) All development shall be designed to maximise the impact it can make to promoting healthy Communities and reducing health inequalities. In particular, regard shall be had to providing the necessary infrastructure to encourage physical exercise and health, including accessible open space, vegetation and landscaping, sport and recreation facilities, cultural facilities and safe, well promoted, walking and cycling routes. Therefore there is no need to repeat this in Local Distinctiveness and Place Shaping policy Policy also refers to the HCC Public Health Department's Hertfordshire Health and Wellbeing Planning Guidance Document (2017) to aid local authorities and developers in the delivery of healthy development and communities Wording can be added to supporting text of the Health and Wellbeing Policy to refer to Sport England's Active Design Guidance	Additional wording to be added to Policy 11 Health and Wellbeing: After 6.10 and before 6.11: Further guidance is also available in Sport England's 'Active Design Guidance available at : https://www.sportengland.org/how-we-can-help/facilities-and-planning/design-and-cost-guidance/active-design
---------------------------	---------------	----	---	---	--	--

Appendix 4 - REPRESENTATIONS – Local Plan Regulation 18 Preferred Policy Options Consultation – Health and Wellbeing

NON-STATUTORY RESPONDENTS

REPRESENTATION REFERENCE	REPRESENTOR	YES/NO	REPRESENTATION	SUMMARY OF REPRESENTATION/MAIN ISSUES RAISED	OFFICER/COUNCIL RESPONSE	OFFICER'S/ COUNCIL'S PROPOSED ACTION
Q12. Do you think the Preferred Policy Option for Health and Wellbeing is the right approach?						
P1_00002		Yes	This is fine.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Support 	Noted	None
P1_00003		Yes	Seems Sensible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Support 	Noted	None
P1_00005		Yes	No Comment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No Comment 	Noted	None
P1_00006		Yes	Local health care provisions are stretched already we cannot continue to expand the locality without making adequate improvements to these services.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Need to improve health care services 	Noted	None
P1_00014		Yes	Sounds the right approach... let's wait and see.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Support 	Noted	None
P1_00017		No	So building on green space is an incentive to improve health and wellbeing? And cutting social care budgets improves wellbeing how? You have no mandate to increase provision of healthcare facilities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Building on green space is not an incentive to improve health/wellbeing Cutting social budgets does not improve wellbeing; No mandate to increase provision of healthcare facilities 	Noted	None
P1_00019		Yes		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Support 	Noted	None
P1_00020		Yes	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Support 	Noted	None
P1_00021		No	I don't fully understand what is being prepared as I do not find it clear	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No Comment 	Noted	None
P1_00023		Yes	Ok	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Support 	Noted	None
P1_00024		Yes	To reduce strain on the NHS, focus should be given to promoting a healthier lifestyle and providing opportunities to exercise within easy reach of home.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Focus on promoting a healthier lifestyle and providing exercise within easy reach of home 	Noted	None
P1_00025		No	Taking away green belt land will have a detrimental impact on wellbeing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Do not develop Green Belt Land 	The priority for development is making as much use as possible of suitable brownfield sites and underutilised land, and an exhaustive search of potential sites to accommodate development needs has been carried out as part of the SHELAA (2020) and Urban Capacity Study (2020). The draft Housing Density policy also promotes a significant uplift in the density of development in the District, and in all cases, proposals will need to make efficient and effective use of land. However, even with these actions, there is insufficient capacity to meet the growth levels required by the Standard Method within the District's existing urban area. The Council therefore has no alternative but to release a small portion of the Green Belt in order to meet its development needs. Should all the sites in the Regulation 18 consultation be allocated, the Green Belt release that would be required would represent approximately only 4% of the total Green Belt in Three Rivers. Furthermore, the Stage 1 and 2 Green Belt Reviews, alongside other environmental and sustainability considerations, have been taken into account when identifying which potential areas of Green Belt Land to release".	None
P1_00026		No	Any development should contribute financially towards infrastructure and increased Health Services as a result of population increase.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Development should contribute towards infrastructure and increased Health Services as a result of population increase. 	Noted	None
P1_00028		Yes	Maximising impact on promoting healthy communities - good!	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Support 	Noted	None
P1_00032		Yes	It's the right approach	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Support 	Noted	None
P1_00033		Yes	Having a health impact assessment is a good idea	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Support having a Health Impact Assessment. 	Noted	None
P1_00034		Yes	Open space is vital as has been proved by the Pandemic - health facilities should be provided in all communities it should not be necessary (especially as been seen during the Pandemic) for elderly people to have to consult with health professionals by phone or online. They should be able to access the help they need locally without , as in many cases, having to resort to taxis to get them to appointments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Open space is vital as demonstrated; Should not be necessary for elderly to consult with health professionals by phone or online Should be able to access appointments locally. 	Noted	None
P1_00038		Yes	Reduce the need for traffic and make homes as eco-friendly as possible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Agree with approach 	Noted	None
P1_00040		No	Under no circumstances should any building take part on green places. The only building I would support is on brownfield sites - that is places where there has already got buildings.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Do not develop Green Belt Land 	The priority for development is making as much use as possible of suitable brownfield sites and underutilised land, and an exhaustive search of potential sites to accommodate	None

Appendix 4 - REPRESENTATIONS – Local Plan Regulation 18 Preferred Policy Options Consultation – Health and Wellbeing

					development needs has been carried out as part of the SHELAA (2020) and Urban Capacity Study (2020). The draft Housing Density policy also promotes a significant uplift in the density of development in the District, and in all cases, proposals will need to make efficient and effective use of land. However, even with these actions, there is insufficient capacity to meet the growth levels required by the Standard Method within the District's existing urban area. The Council therefore has no alternative but to release a small portion of the Green Belt in order to meet its development needs. Should all the sites in the Regulation 18 consultation be allocated, the Green Belt release that would be required would represent approximately only 4% of the total Green Belt in Three Rivers. Furthermore, the Stage 1 and 2 Green Belt Reviews, alongside other environmental and sustainability considerations, have been taken into account when identifying which potential areas of Green Belt Land to release".	
P1_00041		Yes	It is critical for a community's health and wellbeing to provide places for communities to thrive through sport, leisure, religious, and community activities provided as part of the overall plan of an area. Having suitable locations for groups, such as an after school group or even a yoga group is key to building a successful community feel.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need places for communities health through sports, leisure and community activity spaces. • Have suitable locations for groups such as after school or yoga group. 	Noted	None
P1_00043		Yes	A centrally located Hospital for South West Herts accessible to all should be the aspiration	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Centrally located hospital for SW Herts accessible to all. 	Noted	None
P1_00046		Yes	Health and well-being are important for all ages	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agree with approach 	Noted	None
P1_00047		Yes	The policy to promote healthy communities is correct, as the pandemic (among many other things) has shown it is vital to promote people's wellbeing. (However, it should be said that the Three Rivers District Council Draft Local Plan proposals to build hundreds of new homes on green field sites and Green Belt land will clearly damage the wellbeing of many local people - and the loss of biodiversity and the natural environment will lead to many less healthy communities. This is an inconvenient truth.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agree with approach; • Hundreds of new homes on green field/ Green Belt land will clearly damage wellbeing of many local people. 	Noted	None
P1_00048		Yes	No Comment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Support 	Noted	None
P1_00049		Yes	Considering sustainable transport options is key	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agree with approach 	Noted	None
P1_00053		Yes	It's the right approach but no thoughts or plans for surrounding this has been published as part of the plan (especially in regards to Toms Lane). What's the plans?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agree with approach but no thoughts or plans for surrounding area (especially regarding Tom's Lane). 	Noted	None
P1_00054		Yes	Areas CF21 CfS24 , PCS51 , CFS19 are all areas that should remain Community spaces. Also EOS3.0/1/2 all also are near and would infringe on nearby green spaces.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Areas CF21 CfS24 , PCS51 , CFS19 are areas that should remain Community spaces. Also EOS3.0/1/2 would infringe on nearby green spaces. 	Noted	None
P1_00055		Yes	We live in an area where we can walk and enjoy the country side such as Little Green Lane and Rousebarn Lane and surrounding green belt land. Protecting this from developers and fly tippers is a priority for us and many residents in Croxley Green	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Enjoy Little Green Lane and Rousebarn Lane and surrounding green belt land. Protecting this from developers is a priority for us and many residents in Croxley Green 	Noted	None
P1_00056		Yes	Recognises the importance of these factors to local communities.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agree with approach 	Noted	None
P1_00063		Yes	Agree	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agree with approach 	Noted	None
P1_00064		Yes	XXX	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agree with approach 	Noted	None
P1_00066		No	Are already overcrowded parks and recreational areas will be so busy they will be unusable	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Parks are already overcrowded and will become even busier. 	Noted	None
P1_00069		No		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Do not agree with approach but no alternatives suggested 	Noted	None
P1_00071		Yes	I agree with the objective.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Support 	Noted	None
P1_00074			This looks good on paper but I suggest that, as many of the larger proposed developments are located right next to the M25, these may be difficult to realise (especially air quality, noise and dirt) and will be compromised during the planning process.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Given that developments are located next to M25, air quality and noise and dirt will be difficult to overcome. 	Noted	None
P1_00076		No	I sometimes think people mistake health and wellbeing for something manmade - the truth is that nature is the absolute best thing for health and wellbeing. Destroying large swathes of countryside is going to have very negative impact on resident's health and wellbeing if the areas they rely on are built on.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nature is best for health and wellbeing, destroying countryside will have negative impact on health and wellbeing 	Noted	None
P1_00078		Yes	Good aspirations again but doctors surgeries need to be prioritised.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agree with approach 	Noted	None
P1_00080		Yes	More support is required in these difficult times	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agree with approach 	Noted	None
P1_00084		Yes	Especially after lockdown and COVID 19 we need open spaces and green belt land.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agree with approach 	Noted	None
P1_00086		Yes	keeping the greenbelt land from development covers this section	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agree with approach 	Noted	None
P1_00088		No	New Woodland should be included as part of the Health and Wellbeing policy.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need to include Woodland as part of Health and Wellbeing 	Noted	None

Appendix 4 - REPRESENTATIONS – Local Plan Regulation 18 Preferred Policy Options Consultation – Health and Wellbeing

P1_00089		Yes	We need to protect the health of generations now and in years to come so hence not building on Green Belt land in Chorleywood and any building needs to be in keeping with the current environment.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Do not develop Green Belt Land and building needs to be in keeping with current environment 	The priority for development is making as much use as possible of suitable brownfield sites and underutilised land, and an exhaustive search of potential sites to accommodate development needs has been carried out as part of the SHELAA (2020) and Urban Capacity Study (2020). The draft Housing Density policy also promotes a significant uplift in the density of development in the District, and in all cases, proposals will need to make efficient and effective use of land. However, even with these actions, there is insufficient capacity to meet the growth levels required by the Standard Method within the District's existing urban area. The Council therefore has no alternative but to release a small portion of the Green Belt in order to meet its development needs. Should all the sites in the Regulation 18 consultation be allocated, the Green Belt release that would be required would represent approximately only 4% of the total Green Belt in Three Rivers. Furthermore, the Stage 1 and 2 Green Belt Reviews, alongside other environmental and sustainability considerations, have been taken into account when identifying which potential areas of Green Belt Land to release".	None
P1_00091		Yes	This is needed to improve the countries health	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Support 	Noted	None
P1_00096		Yes	Seems appropriate	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Support 	Noted	None
P1_00097s		Yes	Maintain and develop health facilities in line with any increase in population.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Agree with approach 	Noted	None
P1_00102		Yes	Important to add health facilities as there aren't enough in Three Rivers and it will be worse if there are a lot of new homes in the area. They need to be easily accessible to the community by public transport. Local health clinics where minor procedures can be carried out instead of waiting for a hospital appointment are badly needed and would take the pressure off Watford, St Albans and Hemel Hempstead hospitals. Public transport between these hospitals is not good and needs improving.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Need to add more health service locally; Public transport between hospitals is not good and needs improving. 	Noted	None
P1_00103		Yes	No Opinion	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No Comment 	Noted	None
P1_00107			..but your overall plan is in conflict with peoples' wellbeing. You want to build on green sites, and build ever more densely. Your plans are largely going in the wrong direction or better overall wellbeing.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Nature is best for health and wellbeing, destroying countryside will have negative impact on health and wellbeing 	Noted	None
P1_00108		Yes	Health is a very necessary minimum requirement. We need as much green space and free leisure facilities as possible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Agree with approach 	Noted	None
P1_00110		No	No. The PPO misses the huge opportunity for the Local Plan to assess and promote the positive health and well-being benefits of the countryside. Access to the countryside has provided relief for residents and visitors alike during the pandemic, and the recreation opportunities of the AONB and the Green Belt are recognised widely as contributing to peoples' health and well-being in both the long and short term.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Needs to promote benefits of access to the countryside; AONB/ Green Belt recognised widely as contributing to peoples' health and well-being in both long and short term. 	Noted	None
P1_00112		No	No new development should take place in this area until we have a decent, more accessible hospital.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No development to take place until a new hospital is built. 	Noted	None
P1_00113		No	Feels like you just want people to fill in more forms. It's not a policy as such.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> More filling in forms than an actual policy. 	Noted	None
P1_00114		Yes	Concur	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Agree with Approach 	Noted	None
P1_00116		Yes	I agree with the policy as stated.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Agree with Approach 	Noted	None
P1_00117		Yes	Provided the right level of resources are made available	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Agree with approach 	Noted	None
P1_00119		Yes	No issues	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Agree with Approach 	Noted	None
P1_00120		Yes	Seems sensible but surely this would then exclude the developments which reduce or ruin the amenity value (by noise and visual disturbance) of existing walking routes i.e. field footpaths, the London Loop path and Green Belt open spaces such as the Merry Hill Woodland. Who is to make judgements on this?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Agree with approach but then would exclude developments which reduce/ ruin amenity value of existing walking routes i.e. London Loop path. 	Noted	None
P1_00123		Yes	Ok	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Agree with Approach 	Noted	None
P1_00127		Yes	No Comment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Agree with Approach 	Noted	None
P1_00130		Yes	There should be more of these facilities regardless of the new development	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Agree with Approach 	Noted	None
P1_00131		No	Decent sized gardens for new housing. Room for children to play (safely), grow vegetables, have wildlife patches etc..	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Need decent sized gardens for new housing, grow vegetables. 	Noted	None
P1_00132		Yes	balanced approach needs to be sustainable	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Agree with approach 	Noted	None
P1_00133		Not Stated	To restrict the application of the Health and Well Being Health Impact assessment to the curtilage of the new development and then for those with over 100 units would self-evidently neither capture existing deficiencies nor address the accumulating incremental impact of other developments.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Restricting Health Assessments to 100 dwellings/ new development curtilage does not address deficiencies or accumulating incremental impact of other developments. 	Noted	None

Appendix 4 - REPRESENTATIONS – Local Plan Regulation 18 Preferred Policy Options Consultation – Health and Wellbeing

P1_00135	No	A Health Impact Assessment (3) should be required for all major developments over 10 dwellings. There is an issue with both doctor's surgeries not having enough space. There needs to be a more proactive policy to help improve this.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Health Impact Assessment should be required for all developments over 10 dwellings. 	Noted	None
P1_00140	Yes	So long as additional health facility requirements are addressed.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Agree as long as additional healthcare facilities are provided. 	Noted	None
P1_00142	No	.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Do not agree with approach but no alternatives suggested 	Noted	None
P1_00144	Yes	Its fine	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Agree with Approach 	Noted	None
P1_00147	Yes	The local authority should be looking to consult with locals on the types of service required	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Agree with approach 	Noted	None
P1_00148	Yes	Wellbeing of a population is essential for a modern society, This includes providing open, green spaces	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Agree with Approach 	Noted	None
P1_00150	No	No, I do not agree with the approach. The Preferred Policy Option misses the huge opportunity for the Local Plan to assess and promote the positive health and well-being benefits of the countryside. Access to the countryside has provided relief for residents and visitors alike during the pandemic, and the recreation opportunities of the AONB and the Green Belt are recognised widely as contributing to peoples' health and well-being in both the long and short term.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Do not agree with approach as should promote countryside. 	Noted	None
P1_00151	No	The plan should enhance provision for health and wellbeing.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Enhance provision of health and wellbeing. 	Noted	None
P1_00152	No	Having reviewed the preferred policy option for health and well-being I am disappointed that there has been no mention or consideration in detail of how planning policy will take into account the current need and future usage of primary care GP services. The policy does not address the pressing problem of finding suitable sites for the development of health centres and doctors surgeries within Croxley Green. As a GP partner at New Road Surgery I am acutely aware of the shortage of space that has befallen not only ourselves but also Baldwin's Lane surgery. The shortage of space has caused a bottle neck and is affecting recruitment of further medical staff. This problem will be exacerbated as more dwellings are built and will lead to a rationing of services for both current and future residents of Croxley Green. Any sites taken forward must have the local primary care GP services in mind. A site allocation for the development of a new purpose built health care centre is lacking and this policy does not address this. The need for modernisation of primary care premises is highlighted in the NHS forward view. Modernising general practice infrastructure is one of the key pillars of this paper. Investing in improving GP buildings and technology is designed to improve services for patients and enable a wider range of health services closer to where they live. The lack of such infrastructure in Croxley Green has already been noted by current residents who are having to travel to Watford and Hertsmere for community based clinic and outpatient appointments. More recently it was telling that the existing premises were not suitably placed to run the recent Covid vaccination services. A new purpose built health care centre will future proof the delivery of primary care services to existing and new residents. At present not only does the policy not address the current urgent need, but in addition it does not specify how it will meet the amplified future needs as the local population increases in size. The present medical facilities are not meeting the modern needs of the current population of Croxley Green. We consider that the priority for development in Croxley Green should be to provide such health care facilities for the existing and projected residents, before even further residential properties are proposed to be built.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No mention of how planning policy will take account of current and future usage of GP services, nor finding suitable sites for them; Will be exacerbated with the development of more housing; Need to modernise of primary care premises; A new purpose build health care centre is needed; Priority for development in Croxley Green should be to provide such health care facilities for the existing and projected residents, before even further residential properties are proposed to be built. 	Noted	None
P1_00155	Yes	It is very important that green space is considered in any new development, as the connection between positive mental health and nature is well proven. We need to build homes, not houses, so the way the spaces surrounding the dwellings will be used, is just as important as the design of the buildings themselves	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Important to consider green space and consider spaces surrounding the dwellings as well as design of buildings themselves. 	Noted	None
P1_00157	No	This fails to take into account the huge positive health and well-being benefits to be had from accessing the countryside. During the pandemic, people have come to realise how much they have benefitted from making use of outdoor spaces, such as AONB and the Green Belt	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does not take account of health benefits of access to the countryside. 	Noted	None
P1_00162	Yes	This seems fair	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Agree with approach 	Noted	None
P1_00163	Yes	For the reasons you give	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Agree with approach 	Noted	None
P1_00164	Yes	"The thing that you want to happen should be the easiest thing to do" - a sound principle of business. So to get people to cycle the cycle route needs to be shorter than the car route, or at least without	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Agree with approach. Cycle access is good and cycle routes shorter compared to Watford. Rickmansworth Station needs more cycle parking spaces. 	Noted	None

Appendix 4 - REPRESENTATIONS – Local Plan Regulation 18 Preferred Policy Options Consultation – Health and Wellbeing

			holdups, and get you closer to the shop or office entrance than the cars can achieve. Shops, Libraries etc. in TRDC area are quite good for this, whereas I can't get close to shops in Watford's malls by bike - so I tend not to shop there. Rickmansworth Station is one location that is desperately short of cycle parking. In good weather it is sometimes hard to find a place to chain up my bike.			
P1_00166		No	Because all these proposed new housing and taking away green belt land will be detrimental to people's mental health. During the pandemic people loved using the local areas for walking, especially places like Woodside road and seeing the foals born and the horses it has made life worth living even during Lockdowns and to build on these areas is just so sad and makes me so angry.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Taking away Green Belt land will be detrimental to people's mental health. 	Noted. The priority for development is making as much use as possible of suitable brownfield sites and underutilised land, and an exhaustive search of potential sites to accommodate development needs has been carried out as part of the SHELAA (2020) and Urban Capacity Study (2020). The draft Housing Density policy also promotes a significant uplift in the density of development in the District, and in all cases, proposals will need to make efficient and effective use of land. However, even with these actions, there is insufficient capacity to meet the growth levels required by the Standard Method within the District's existing urban area. The Council therefore has no alternative but to release a small portion of the Green Belt in order to meet its development needs. Should all the sites in the Regulation 18 consultation be allocated, the Green Belt release that would be required would represent approximately only 4% of the total Green Belt in Three Rivers. Furthermore, the Stage 1 and 2 Green Belt Reviews, alongside other environmental and sustainability considerations, have been taken into account when identifying which potential areas of Green Belt Land to release".	None
P1_00167		Not Stated	We know from the experience of the warehouse at Maple Cross that this section is not backed up by Council involvement or proper assessment of the impact on local families and volunteers. I believe that the Herts County Council Health and wellbeing assessment has no legal force in planning applications and so this policy is hollow. I would urge the council to take a stronger stand here.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Section is not backed up by Council involvement or proper assessment, as experienced with warehouse at Maple Cross 	Noted	None
P1_00169		Yes	I agree with the approach	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Agree with approach 	Noted	None
P1_00170		Yes	Strongly AGREE that Developers being required to provide the necessary infrastructure to encourage physical exercise and health, including accessible open space, vegetation and landscaping, sport and recreation facilities, cultural facilities and safe, well promoted, walking and cycling routes as in integral part of their developments.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Agree with approach. 	Noted	None
P1_00174		Yes	I agree with what is said here.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Agree with approach 	Noted	None
P1_00181		Not Specified	There needs to be greater focus on the benefits of nature in providing for active lives and mental wellbeing. The Covid-19 pandemic has clearly shown how people have come to value green space and nature around them. Measures to encourage Green Infrastructure, Biodiversity Net Gain and Nature Recovery should be given greater emphasis throughout the plan. Large sites need to be planned through a Master planning process, which incorporates green and blue wildlife corridors and circular routes for walking and cycling to encourage more active lifestyles.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Greater focus on benefits of Green Infrastructure and biodiversity. 	Noted	None
P1_00182		No	Development of 100 or more dwellings is a large development. It seems wrong that a development of this size can go ahead without any Health Impact Assessment.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Any development over 100 dwellings cannot go ahead without Health Impact Assessment. 	Noted	None
P1_00183		Yes	As above	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Agree with approach 	Noted	None
P1_00184		No	The proposed policy 11(3) should apply to any development of more than 20 dwellings. The current policy 11(2) is purely reactive; there should be a more proactive policy to secure suitable provision within the District. There is an urgent need to provide a suitable site (or sites) for new health care provision within Croxley Green. The present health and medical facilities in Croxley Green are inadequate to meet the needs of the present population of Croxley Green. I consider that providing better health facilities, in particular doctors' surgeries with the capacity to deliver a wide range of health services for the existing and projected population, should be the priority for development in Croxley Green before any more residential properties are built in the area.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proposed policy should apply to development of > 20 dwellings; Proposed health and medical facilities in Croxley Green are inadequate Providing better health facilities, in particular doctors' surgeries with the capacity to deliver a wide range of health facilities. 	Noted	None
P1_00186		No	The local infrastructure is already struggling	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Infrastructure is already struggling 	Noted	None
P1_00187		No	The proposed policy 11(3) should apply to any development of more than 20 dwellings. The current policy 11(2) is purely reactive; there should be a more proactive policy to secure suitable provision within the District. There is an urgent need to provide a suitable site (or sites) for new health care provision within Croxley Green. The present health and medical	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proposed policy should apply to development of > 20 dwellings; Proposed health and medical facilities in Croxley Green are inadequate 	Noted	None

Appendix 4 - REPRESENTATIONS – Local Plan Regulation 18 Preferred Policy Options Consultation – Health and Wellbeing

			facilities in Croxley Green are inadequate to meet the needs of the present population of Croxley Green. I consider that providing better health facilities, in particular doctors' surgeries with the capacity to deliver a wide range of health services for the existing and projected population, should be the priority for development in Croxley Green before any more residential properties are built in the area.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Providing better health facilities, in particular doctors' surgeries with the capacity to deliver a wide range of health facilities. 		
P1_00190		Yes	Sensible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Agree with approach 	Noted	None
P1_00201		Yes	That's all well and good, but new housing will bring with it its own pollution, especially through the building and from the cars people will move in with.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Agree with approach. However, new housing will bring with it pollution. 	Noted	None
P1_00206		Yes	NA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Agree with approach 	Noted	None
P1_00209		No	The words sound good but you are proposing to take away hectares of open space, vegetation and landscaping with these proposals. Cycling is already dangerous in the Carpenders Park area without doubling the amount of road traffic due to the huge size of these proposed areas of development and increase in population.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proposing to take away hectares of open space 	Noted	None
P1_00211		No	A Healthy Impact Assessment should be required for developments over 10 dwellings. There is an issue with capacity at doctors' surgeries,	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Health Impact Assessment should be required for over 10 dwellings. 	Noted	None
P1_00215		Yes	I am absolutely in agreement that open spaces are vital for healthy people	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Agree with approach 	Noted	None
P1_00218		No	No - because by building on the green belt, we will be taking away the chance for residents to benefit from the green lungs that have sustained us in the pandemic, by walking locally, taking on different views and hearing different soundscapes. People in densely populated parts of the district, and less densely populated parts of the district have benefited from the wonderful parks that TRDC run, but there is a need for less managed environments to be encountered too.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> By developing Green Belt Land will take away green lungs for residents during the pandemic. 	Noted. The priority for development is making as much use as possible of suitable brownfield sites and underutilised land, and an exhaustive search of potential sites to accommodate development needs has been carried out as part of the SHELAA (2020) and Urban Capacity Study (2020). The draft Housing Density policy also promotes a significant uplift in the density of development in the District, and in all cases, proposals will need to make efficient and effective use of land. However, even with these actions, there is insufficient capacity to meet the growth levels required by the Standard Method within the District's existing urban area. The Council therefore has no alternative but to release a small portion of the Green Belt in order to meet its development needs. Should all the sites in the Regulation 18 consultation be allocated, the Green Belt release that would be required would represent approximately only 4% of the total Green Belt in Three Rivers. Furthermore, the Stage 1 and 2 Green Belt Reviews, alongside other environmental and sustainability considerations, have been taken into account when identifying which potential areas of Green Belt Land to release".	None
P1_00219		Yes	Appropriate requirement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Agree with approach 	Noted	None
P1_00222		No	A Health Impact Assessment (3) should be required for all major developments over 10 dwellings. There is an issue with both doctors' surgeries not having enough space. There needs to be a more proactive policy to help improve this.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Health Impact Assessment should be required for over 10 dwellings. 	Noted	None
P1_00223		Yes	It covers what is needed, as long as the Health Impact Assessment (HIA) is carried out and adhered to.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Agree with approach 	Noted	None
P1_00224		Yes		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Agree with approach 	Noted	None
P1_00227		No	The proposed policy 11(3) should apply to any development of more than 20 dwellings.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Should apply for developments of more than 20 dwellings. 	Noted	None
P1_00230		Not Stated	1. The importance of unmanaged spaces to health and wellbeing such as meadows needs to be recognized and protected.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Importance of unmanaged spaces to health and wellbeing such as meadows needs to be recognized and protected. 	Noted	None
P1_00232		Yes	All Good	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Agree with approach 	Noted	None
P1_00233		Yes	I agree Preferred Policy Option for Health and Wellbeing is the right approach.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Agree with approach 	Noted	None
P1_00234		Yes	I agree Preferred Policy Option for Health and Wellbeing is the right approach.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Agree with approach 	Noted	None
P1_00236		Yes	Stipulations make sense	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Agree with approach 	Noted	None
P1_00240		Yes	Agree	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Agree with approach 	Noted	None
P1_00244		Yes	It's important to include health.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Agree with approach 	Noted	None

Appendix 4 - REPRESENTATIONS – Local Plan Regulation 18 Preferred Policy Options Consultation – Health and Wellbeing

P1_00250		Yes	Local Plan Regulation 18 (Part 1) Preferred Policy Options Consultation June 2021 These representations are submitted by Iwan Jones, Managing Director of JIG Planning & Development Ltd, on behalf of the landowner of the land to the north of Chalfont Lane, Maple Cross identified as site EOS12.3 within Part 2 of the Local Plan Regulation 18 Sites for Potential Allocation. We concur with The Councils Preferred Policy Option 11 Health and Wellbeing which seeks to ensure that all development shall be designed to maximise the impact it can make to promoting healthy communities and reducing health inequalities. In particular, regard shall be had to providing the necessary infrastructure to encourage physical exercise and health, including accessible open space, vegetation and landscaping, sport and recreation facilities, cultural facilities and safe, well promoted, walking and cycling routes. It is our belief that planning and health and wellbeing are connected, something we consider that has been overlooked in the past when designing new development. Health and wellbeing should be at the forefront of place making. Health and wellbeing can be enhanced and nurtured by well-designed developments where particular attention has been given to location, density and mix of land uses and the designing-in of health and wellbeing as an essential part of the development. Emphasis should be placed on active travel, multi-functional open space and high quality environments to ensure that the setting of the housing and development within the neighbourhood area also contributes to the health and wellbeing of individuals and communities.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agree with approach; • Regard be had to providing necessary infrastructure to encourage physical exercise and health; • Emphasis should be placed on active travel, multi-functional open space and high quality environments to ensure the setting of housing and development within the neighbourhood area also contributes to the health and wellbeing of individuals and communities. 	Noted	None
P1_00254		Not Stated	<p>We welcome the Council's commitment to the health and wellbeing of its residents. As detailed in our representation to <i>Preferred Policy Option 2: Housing Mix & Type</i>, the demographic profile of the District is ageing with a requirement for 1,156 units of specialist older persons' housing required over the Plan period.</p> <p>An ageing population inevitably results in an increase in frail individuals and persons with long term health issues. There is a commensurate pressure on care and health services accordingly with many local authorities spending over a third of their budgets on adult social care currently.</p> <p>It is well established that poor housing can exacerbate health problems in old age, with enormous resultant costs to the NHS and social care. For example:</p> <p>Falls - Public Health England statistics show that in 2017/18 falls accounted for 335,000 hospital admissions in England of people aged 65 and over.</p> <p>Cold Homes - Millions of older people in the UK are living in homes that are too cold. A cold home can cause chronic and acute illnesses and lead to reduced mobility, falls and depression.</p> <p>Social Isolation - 1.5 million people aged 50 and over are always or often lonely, researchers have calculated. Loneliness makes it harder for people to regulate behaviours such as drinking, smoking, and over-eating, which in turn have their own significant negative outcomes.</p> <p>Specialist older persons' housing has been developed with the needs of the elderly in mind, enabling them to remain independent for longer. These homes are designed to be warm and with features to alleviate the physical impact of ageing (such as level access throughout) and offer opportunities for residents to access support, care, and companionship. The recently published <i>Healthier and Happier Report</i> by WPI Strategy (September 2019) calculated that the average person living in specialist housing for older people saves the NHS and social services £3,490 per year.</p> <p>The Council's aspirations to improve the health and wellbeing of its residents is commendable and we are strongly of the view that increasing the delivery of specialist older persons' housing is wholly aligned with this objective.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agree with approach; • Council's aspirations to improve health and wellbeing of its residents is commendable and we are strongly of the view that increasing the delivery of specialist older persons' housing is wholly aligned with this objective 	Noted	None
P1_00256		No	This is just meaningless waffle	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No substance to policy 	Noted	None
P1_00262		Yes	More cycling infrastructure. Increase public transport to reduce car use.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agree with approach 	Noted	None
P1_00263		Yes	The M25 is not properly taken account of as a contextual feature of the Local Plan in this document. It has a dominant and negative impact that affects for example Abbots Langley and Kings Langley communities particularly, but this is not recognised. Nor the fact that the motorway has been widened (and may be expanded in future) and that it requires ongoing works - all bringing further impact. There is no mention of the need for mitigation against this. The green belt	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • M25 not properly taken into account, affects Abbots Langley and Kings Langley; • Further works to widen M25 and general works do not mention; • Abbots Langley and Kings Langley have an unequal and unfair burden to be expected to shoulder part of the national Housing Needs Targets requirements. 	Noted	None

Appendix 4 - REPRESENTATIONS – Local Plan Regulation 18 Preferred Policy Options Consultation – Health and Wellbeing

			has an additional role to play in Three Rivers alongside its other key purposes, which have been set out in the Local Plan documents by TRDC* - that of mitigating impact on communities in the district by acting as a green buffer, protecting communities from the worst effects of noise, light, air pollution, visual impact, loss of amenity, access, etc, etc. Reduction of the green belt by development in land areas immediately between communities and the M25 should therefore be avoided and the Local Plan Policy should reflect this. Abbots Langley and Kings Langley for example have an unequal and unfair burden to be expected to shoulder a part of the national Housing Needs Targets requirements and their impact alongside this existing impact caused by hosting such a major piece of national infrastructure. TRDC should recognise the impact on communities of the M25 within the district as a key factor in The Local Plan. *a. To check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas; b. To prevent neighbouring towns merging into one another; c. To assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment; d. To preserve the setting and special character of historic towns; and e. To assist in urban regeneration, by encouraging the recycling of derelict and other urban land.			
P1_00266		Not Stated	Air Quality one of the seven key areas yet TRDC only measure NO2 in the District with the exception of their single AQMA area. This is not good enough, this policy should determine a stronger path forward, and air quality must be monitored. All developments of 10+ dwellings must monitor the air quality.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Air quality one of seven areas yet only measure NO2 with exception of AQMA; All developments of 10+ dwellings should monitor air quality. 	Noted	None
P1_00271		Yes	Need to ensure wellbeing of residents	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Agree with approach 	Noted	None
P1_00275		No	increase in pollution	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Will lead to increase in pollution. 	Noted	None
P1_00282		No	Enough	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Enough 	Noted	None
Q12. Should we have considered alternative options?						
P1_00006		Yes	Some local developments in recent years have seen the population of the area increase significantly but no further increases have been in doctor's surgeries locally. Outside space gym equipment has been made in some local parks and this needs to be maintained.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Need to improve health care services 	Noted	None
P1_00014		Yes	As above, before	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Support 	Noted	None
P1_00017		Yes	See above.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Building on green space is not an incentive to improve health/wellbeing Cutting social budgets does not improve wellbeing; No mandate to increase provision of healthcare facilities 	Noted	None
P1_00020		Yes	Require clear plans for the infrastructure required to support any new development, reduce traffic and ensure availability of public good public transport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Need clear infrastructure plans and reduce traffic and improve public transport 	Noted	None
P1_00025		Yes	Not green belt land	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not on Green Belt Land 	The priority for development is making as much use as possible of suitable brownfield sites and underutilised land, and an exhaustive search of potential sites to accommodate development needs has been carried out as part of the SHELAA (2020) and Urban Capacity Study (2020). The draft Housing Density policy also promotes a significant uplift in the density of development in the District, and in all cases, proposals will need to make efficient and effective use of land. However, even with these actions, there is insufficient capacity to meet the growth levels required by the Standard Method within the District's existing urban area. The Council therefore has no alternative but to release a small portion of the Green Belt in order to meet its development needs. Should all the sites in the Regulation 18 consultation be allocated, the Green Belt release that would be required would represent approximately only 4% of the total Green Belt in Three Rivers. Furthermore, the Stage 1 and 2 Green Belt Reviews, alongside other environmental and sustainability considerations, have been taken into account when identifying which potential areas of Green Belt Land to release".	None
P1_00026		Yes	Making developers pay for increased pressure on social services ,sewage, transport infrastructure, extra policing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Developers should pay for additional services. 	Noted	None
P1_00040		Yes	Under no circumstances should any building take part on green places. The only building I would support is on brownfield sites - that is places where there has already got buildings.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Do not develop Green Belt Land 	The priority for development is making as much use as possible of suitable brownfield sites and underutilised land, and an exhaustive search of potential sites to accommodate development needs has been carried out as part of the SHELAA (2020) and Urban Capacity Study (2020). The draft Housing Density policy also promotes a significant uplift in the density of development in the District, and in all cases, proposals will need to make efficient and effective use of land. However, even with these actions, there is insufficient capacity to meet the	None

Appendix 4 - REPRESENTATIONS – Local Plan Regulation 18 Preferred Policy Options Consultation – Health and Wellbeing

					growth levels required by the Standard Method within the District's existing urban area. The Council therefore has no alternative but to release a small portion of the Green Belt in order to meet its development needs. Should all the sites in the Regulation 18 consultation be allocated, the Green Belt release that would be required would represent approximately only 4% of the total Green Belt in Three Rivers. Furthermore, the Stage 1 and 2 Green Belt Reviews, alongside other environmental and sustainability considerations, have been taken into account when identifying which potential areas of Green Belt Land to release".	
P1_00041		Yes	Would like to know what else was considered for comparison.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need to know what other options were considered 	Noted	None
P1_00043		Yes	A centrally located Hospital for South West Herts accessible to all should be the aspiration	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New hospital centrally located in SW Hertfordshire accessible for all 	The Infrastructure Assessment will calculate the level of additional health provision that is required as a result of the levels of growth. NHS England is responsible for the delivery of this additional healthcare and will calculate appropriately how to accommodate this additional medical need.	None
P1_00066		Yes	There should be additional areas developed outside any housing developments payed for by the developments and these should be incorporated in the local plan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Should be additional areas developed outside housing developments paid for by developers and included in the plan. 	Noted	None
P1_00068		Yes	You should have kept things simple - if you genuinely wish to promote health - state that all occupiers of new developments must be thin and less than a certain BMI - non-smoking or vaping and don't smell of garlic (oh maybe I added the last one for myself).	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Should keep things simple. If you wish to promote health, design homes only for the healthy. 	Noted	None
P1_00076		Yes	Don't build, look at how we can make current housing options more accessible to local people so developers, investors etc don't artificially put up prices.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No more housing and make current housing options more accessible. 	Noted	None
P1_00088		Yes	New Woodland should be included as part of the Health and Wellbeing policy.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New woodland should be included as part of health and wellbeing policy. 	Noted	None
P1_00102		Yes	Mandatory provision of additional health clinics should be included.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mandatory provision of additional health clinics needed 	Noted	None
P1_00107		Yes	Drop plans to build on green spaces.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Withdraw proposals to develop green spaces. 	Noted	None
P1_00108		Yes	Develop parks as Watford has done. Three Rivers is very poor for parks, skate parks, tennis courts, woodland walks etc	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Develop parks as Watford has done 	Noted	None
P1_00112		Yes	No new development should take place in this area until we have a decent, more accessible hospital.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No more development until have a decent accessible hospital. 	Noted	None
P1_00113		No	No Idea	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No alternatives suggested 	Noted	None
P1_00116		Yes	Protection of the Green Belt should be a key policy area.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Protection of Green Belt should be a key policy area. 	The priority for development is making as much use as possible of suitable brownfield sites and underutilised land, and an exhaustive search of potential sites to accommodate development needs has been carried out as part of the SHELAA (2020) and Urban Capacity Study (2020). The draft Housing Density policy also promotes a significant uplift in the density of development in the District, and in all cases, proposals will need to make efficient and effective use of land. However, even with these actions, there is insufficient capacity to meet the growth levels required by the Standard Method within the District's existing urban area. The Council therefore has no alternative but to release a small portion of the Green Belt in order to meet its development needs. Should all the sites in the Regulation 18 consultation be allocated, the Green Belt release that would be required would represent approximately only 4% of the total Green Belt in Three Rivers. Furthermore, the Stage 1 and 2 Green Belt Reviews, alongside other environmental and sustainability considerations, have been taken into account when identifying which potential areas of Green Belt Land to release".	None
P1_00131		Yes	See above	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need decent sized gardens for new housing, grow vegetables. 	Noted	None
P1_00132		Yes	medical needs and access is important to all	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Medical access important for all. 	Noted	None
P1_00135		Yes	A more holistic approach to this community focusing on carbon neutral development and increased greening of public spaces	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Focus on Carbon Neutral development and increased greening of public spaces 	Noted	None
P1_00142		Yes	.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No alternative approaches suggested 	Noted	None
P1_00144		Yes	.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No alternative approaches suggested 	Noted	None
P1_00147		Yes	Greater use of camera's locally to help deter unsocial behaviour, and more community officers	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Greater use of cameras to tackle unsocial behaviour and more community officers. 	Noted	None
P1_00152		Yes	As above.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No mention of how planning policy will take account of current and future usage of GP services, nor finding suitable sites for them; • Will be exacerbated with the development of more housing; • Need to modernise of primary care premises; • A new purpose build health care centre is needed; 	Noted	None

Appendix 4 - REPRESENTATIONS – Local Plan Regulation 18 Preferred Policy Options Consultation – Health and Wellbeing

				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Priority for development in Croxley Green should be to provide such health care facilities for the existing and projected residents, before even further residential properties are proposed to be built. 		
P1_00166		Yes	Don't keep planning to build on our nature areas	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Don't build in nature areas 	Noted	None
P1_00182		Yes	What about the existing parts of the local area that have been badly affected by cars, their speed and pollution? Should no measures be included to rectify existing problems? We have dual carriageways in the central areas of Rickmansworth which are used as race tracks. It is difficult and dangerous to cross the area by bicycle.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> What about areas of the borough affected by speeding and pollution; Have dual carriageways in Rickmansworth which are dangerous. 	Noted	None
P1_00201		Yes	Considering the impact of more vehicles on the roads in rural areas	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consider impact of more vehicles on road in rural areas. 	Noted	None
P1_00209		Yes	It is for councillors to consider sensible, alternative options and propose a range of those options	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Councillors should consider sensible, alternative options. 	Noted	None
P1_00224		Yes	See above	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Agree with approach 	Noted	None
P1_00227		Yes	The current policy 11(2) is purely reactive; there should be a more proactive policy to secure suitable provision within the District. There is an urgent need to provide a suitable site (or sites) for new health care provision within Croxley Green. The present health and medical facilities in Croxley Green are inadequate to meet the needs of the present population of Croxley Green. Providing better health facilities, in particular doctor's surgeries with the capacity to deliver a wide range of health services for the existing and projected population, should be the priority for development in Croxley Green before any more residential properties are built in the area.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy is purely reactive, needs to be pro-active. Urgent need for more health care provision in Croxley Green; Need to provide better healthcare facilities; 	Noted	None
P1_00244		Yes	I would have liked this to be written in the context of the reduced use of private cars.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Should be written in context of reduced use of private cars 	Noted	None
P1_00248		No	<p>Having reviewed the documents and specifically the preferred policy option for the health and wellbeing of the local population, I am somewhat dismayed to see that there is no mention or consideration for the supporting infrastructure, specifically GP Primary Care Services, required to service the additional housing proposed. The quality, functionality and location of a GP surgery significantly impacts on their ability to provide adequate GP service provision and to service the day to day needs of the wider community. Presently, NHS services are significantly stretched, local GP services pushed to their limits and restrictions on service provision have caused a bottle neck for primary care services.</p> <p>The Partners at Baldwins Lane Surgery are acutely aware that the current shortage of space, which also affects New Road Surgery, is aggravating this situation and impacting on our ability to recruit additional medical staff. An increase in dwellings will further exacerbate this situation, capacity will be insufficient to absorb new patients and the overall effect will be rationing of services for both current and future residents of Croxley Green.</p> <p>The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) supports strong, vibrant, and healthy communities. It highlights the need for modernization of primary care premises and seeks to deliver sufficient community and cultural facilities and services to meet local needs by providing accessible local services that reflect the community's needs and support its health with a wide range of health services closer to where they live.</p> <p>Patients have already noted that there is a lack of infrastructure in Croxley Green, residents are required travel to Watford and Hertsmere for community-based clinics and outpatient appointments. More recently existing premises were not suitably placed to run the recent Covid vaccination services.</p> <p>It is important we ensure that there is sufficient capacity to accommodate the housing and population growth which is forecast for the local area. A new purpose-built Health Care Centre will future proof the delivery of primary care services to existing and new residents. As it stands this policy does not address the current needs nor does it specify how it will meet the amplified future needs of the local population as it increases in size.</p> <p>The present medical facilities are no longer fit for purpose and do not meet the modern needs of the current population of Croxley Green. The NPPF requires sufficient facilities to meet local needs, in order to embrace and reinforce this vision we believe that priority should be given to the development of Health Care facilities in Croxley Green before further residential properties are proposed to be built.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No mention of supporting infrastructure; Partners at Baldwin's Lane Surgery are acutely aware of the shortage of space; Is a lack of infrastructure in Croxley Green; Present medical facilities are no longer for fit purpose in Croxley Green. 	Noted	None
P1_00254		Not Stated	We recommend that the role of specialist older persons' housing in improving the health and wellbeing of the District's elderly residents is acknowledged in the wording of this policy.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recommend the role of specialist older persons' housing in improving the health and wellbeing of the District's elderly residents is acknowledged; 	Noted	None

Appendix 4 - REPRESENTATIONS – Local Plan Regulation 18 Preferred Policy Options Consultation – Health and Wellbeing

			As a suggestion we would recommend an additional sub-clause to the policy which reads as follows: <i>i Ensure that the needs of the District's ageing population are addressed, and that older people have increased access to support, care, companionship, and appropriate accommodation.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Suggest an additional clause 		
P1_00256		Yes	The council should be compulsorily purchasing agricultural land at agricultural prices to create more publicly accessible green space/parkland During lockdown, it was not possible to socially distance on the footpaths around Croxley Green. Since then, at least two unfarmed fields have been fenced off	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Council should CPO agricultural land to make more green space; In lockdown, could not socially distance on footpaths around Croxley Green. 	Noted	None
P1_00262		Yes	More swimming pools, more cycle lanes, more speed restrictions. Adopt Low Traffic Neighbourhoods	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> More swimming pools, cycle lanes and speed restrictions; Adopt low traffic neighbourhoods. 	Noted	None
P1_00275		Yes	increase in pollution in already populated areas – choose areas that are not densely pop	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Choose area that is not densely populated to prevent increase in pollution. 	Noted	None
P1_00281		No	Why do we need new health facilities when we have beautiful country side that you want to destroy forever	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Why do we need new health facilities when have beautiful countryside want to destroy forever. 	Noted	None