

COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIPS SERVICE PLAN 2018 - 2021

CONTENTS

Section		Item	Page
	Introduction		3
1	Inputs		3
1.1	Budgets		3
2	Outputs and Outcomes		4
2.1 2.2 2.3	Performance management Projects Risk Management		6 8 9
	Version Control		13

INTRODUCTION

The progress of this service plan will be monitored in the following ways:

- Through regular discussion at departmental management team (DMT) and Section Heads meetings and logged in the version control section of this document
- Performance indicator monitoring by the Council's Management Board on a quarterly basis
- By Committee reports twice a year (at the end of quarters two and four)

Service Plans will be formally updated on an annual basis, taking into account internal and external influences arising from monitoring arrangements throughout the year.

SECTION 1: INPUTS

1.1 Budgets			
	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21
	Latest	Latest	Latest
	£	£	£
Net Cost of Service (Direct cost / Income Only)	766,550	772,480	773,400

Further financial analysis can be found by using this link

SECTION 2: OUTPUTS AND OUTCOMES

2.1 Performance management

2.1.1 Contribution to the Councils' Strategic Aims and Objectives

Strategic Plan Priority theme	Strategic Plan objective (inc. ref)	Measure (including the reference)	Target
Better Neighbourhoods	1.1.1 Maintain high quality local neighbourhoods and streets.	CP01 – Satisfaction with 'keeping public land clear of litter and refuse'	79.00%
		CP18– reduce the level of anti-social parking in hotspot areas	75.00%
		CP17 – reduce fly tipping across the District	>1000 recorded incidents in 2018/19
	1.1.4 Minimise waste and optimise recycling	CP03 – Satisfaction with refuse collection	80.00%
	recycling	CP04 – Satisfaction with doorstep recycling	82.00%
2. Healthier Communities	2.2.1 Improve access to benefits	CAB1 – Clients that now receive full benefits they are entitled to following CASB intervention.	2500
	2.3.1 Reduce anti-social behaviour and crime.	CP14 – No. of ASB incidents (reported to the Community Safety Partnership	TBC
		CPNEW – Perception of ASB as a problem in the local area	12%
	2.3.2 Support vulnerable people	CP16 – No of families supported by Families First	83
		CP21 – no of victims of Domestic abuse supported	100
		CP29 – Number of clients onto a debt relief order	33

	CP30 - Number of clients no longer at threat of eviction that were at threat of eviction	400
	CP31 – Number of clients still at threat of eviction that were at threat of eviction.	35
2.4.1 Ensure the safety of people in the district.	CP07 – Perception to the extent to which public services are working to make the area safer	74%
2.5.1 Improve and facilitate access to leisure and recreational activities for adults	CP02 – Satisfaction with parks and open spaces	89%
2.5.2 Contribute to partnership working to reduce health inequalities	CP24 - number of adults achieving at least 30 minutes of physical activity per week.	85.6%
2.5.4 Work with the Community and Voluntary sector to meet the needs of local communities	CP26 – Funding to the Community and Voluntary Sector, through leverage, officer advice, match funding and external grants	£45,000
	CP27 – no of people supported by the CAB	7500

Our values will be measured by:

Measures	Target	Lead Service
		All Services, monitored
CP05 – Satisfaction with Three Rivers District Council	73%	by Community
		Partnerships.
		Monitored by Corporate
CO02 – Public perception of how well informed residents feel about Three	67%	Services
Rivers District Council		(Communications)
CP46 – The perception of value for money from Three Rivers District	56%	Dept. for Corporate
Council		Resources and
		Governance

2.1.2 Performance indicators

Performance indicators are used to aid the decision making process as well as assess the efficiency and effectiveness of service delivery. The data that we use must be accurate, reliable and timely. To meet these requirements we have adopted the Audit Commission's Standards for Better Data Quality. Further details of the Council's commitment to data quality can be found in the Strategic Plan.

Ref	Description	2016/17 Actual	2017/18 Target (Current year)	2018/19 Target (Next year)	2019/20 Target	2020/21 Target
CO02	Public perception of how well informed residents feel about Three Rivers District Council	66.00%	67.00%	67.00%	67.00%	68.00%
CP01	Satisfaction with keeping public land clear of litter and refuse	78.00%	79.00%	78.00%	78.00%	78.00%
CP02	Satisfaction with quality/provision of parks and open spaces	92.00%	89.00%	89.00%	89.00%	89.00%
CP03	Satisfaction with refuse collection	80.00%	80.00%	76.00%	76.00%	76.00%
CP04	Satisfaction with doorstep recycling	85.00%	82.00%	76.00%	76.00%	76.00%
CP05	Perception of satisfaction with Three Rivers District Council	73.00%	73.00%	73.00%	74.00%	74.00%
CP07	The percentage of people who agree that local public services are working to make the area safer	76.00%	78.00%	74.00%	74.00%	74.00%
CP14	No. of ASB incidents (reported to the Community Safety Partnership)	PI has changed from previous year.	Q1 60 Q2 140 Q3 200 Q4 240	TBC	TBC	TBC
CP16	No of new families supported by Families First	90 cases	83	83	83	83
CP22	Satisfaction with sports and leisure facilities	92.00%	90.00%	88.00%	88.00%	90.00%
CP26	Funding to the Community and Voluntary Sector, through leverage, match funding and grants	£91,130	£50,000	£45,000	£45,000	£45,000
CP27	Number of clients supported by Citizens Advice Bureau	7,321	7500	7500	7500	7500
CP28	Clients that now receive full benefits they are entitled to following CAS in Three Rivers Intervention	New in 2017/18	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500
CP29	Number of clients onto a Debt Relief Order	New in 2017/18	33	33	33	33
CP30	Number of clients no longer at threat of eviction that were at threat of eviction	New in 2017/18	300	400	400	400
CP31	Number of clients still at threat of eviction that were at threat of eviction	New in 2017/18	35	35	35	35
CP39	Customer satisfaction with Community Partnerships Unit	90.25%	90.00%	90.00%	90.00%	90.00%

ODNEW	Describes of ACD as a markless in the least and	Nav. ia 0040 40	Name in 0040 40	40.000/	40.000/	40.000/
CPNEW	Perception of ASB as a problem in the local area	New in 2018-19	New in 2018-19	12.00%	12.00%	12.00%
CP46	The perception of value for money from Three Rivers Council	52.00%	56.00%	56.00%	56.00%	56.00%
CP21	Number of victims of domestic abuse supported by domestic abuse caseworker service	New in 2017/18	100	100	100	100
CP18	Reduce the level of anti-social parking in hotspot areas	New in 2018-19	New in 18-19	75%	75%	TBC once Pilot completed.
CP24	No. of adults achieving at least 30 minutes of physical activity per week	New in 2017/18	85.1%	85.6%	86.1%	86.1%
CP17	Reduce fly-tipping across the District	721	792	1000	950	900
EP13	Manage the behaviour of dogs in our parks and open spaces	New in 2017/18	Less than 5% increase on YTD – 172	172	167	167

The Head of Community Partnerships is responsible for the source data, data entry and checking the data. The purpose of collating this data is to ensure that our services improve.

2.2 Projects

	Project details	Project timescales			
Project title	Proposed outcome	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	
Public Health District Offer	Delivery of projects and performance monitoring data on the Public Health District Offier Programme.	Project Started September 2014 and ends March 2019			
Mental Health Outreach Worker	Extension of pilot project in Three Rivers to support vulnerable adults	Project ends March 2019			
Domestic Abuse Caseworker	Delivery of revised service to support medium to low risk victims of domestic abuse		Project ends March 2020		
Fly Tipping Project	Delivery of Community Safety Partnership Fly Tipping Action Plan and reduction in Fly Tipping				
Street Enforcement Pilot Project	To reduce the level of anti-social parking around the pilot zone.	Pilot starts in 2018-19	Project ends in 2019-20		

2.3 Risk Management

Risk Management Strategy and guidance

RISK REGISTER

	Risk	Impact	Impact	Likelihood	Reason for Assessment		
Risk			Classification	Classification			
Ref	Brief Description – Title	See Impact Table	See Impact	See Likelihood	Use this box to describe how		
	of Risk		Table	Table	the score has been derived		
a) Co	mmon Risks						
1	Insufficient staff	Service Disruption	II		Staff numbers have been	Requires Treatment	No
		Financial Loss	II	Е	reduced over last two	Last Review Date	30/6/17
		Reputation	II	E	years. New corporate	Next Milestone Date	21/02/18
		Legal Implications	-		projects cannot all be	Next Review Date	31/03/18
		People	II		supported without temporary staff.	Date Closed	
2	Total failure of ICT	Service Disruption	III		Critical systems SafetyNet	Requires Treatment	Yes
	systems	Financial Loss		F	for ASB. Outlook and	Last Review Date	30/6/17
	•	Reputation	II	E	printers for all staff.	Next Milestone Date	21/02/18
		Legal Implications				Next Review Date	31/03/18
		People	III			Date Closed	
3	Loss of	Service Disruption	III		Could impact on service to	Requires Treatment	Yes
	accommodation	Financial Loss	I	Е	high risk families and	Last Review Date	30/6/17
		Reputation	II	L	vulnerable adults.	Next Milestone Date	21/02/18
		Legal Implications	I			Next Review Date	31/03/18
		People	III			Date Closed	
4	Fraudulent activity	Service Disruption	III		No income managed.	Requires Treatment	Yes
		Financial Loss	III	Е	Grants managed and some	Last Review Date	30/6/17
		Reputation	IV	L	petty cash for family	Next Milestone Date	21/02/18
		Legal Implications	IV		casework.	Next Review Date	31/03/18
		People	-			Date Closed	
5	Loss of partner or	Service Disruption	IV		Partnerships are under	Requires Treatment	Yes
	agreed partnership	Financial Loss	II	Е	financial pressure	Last Review Date	30/6/17
	funding (revenue or	Reputation	III	_		Next Milestone Date	21/02/18
	capital)	Legal Implications	-			Next Review Date	31/03/18
		People	-			Date Closed	

	Risk	Impact	Impact	Likelihood	Reason for Assessment		
Risk			Classification	Classification			
Ref	Brief Description – Title	See Impact Table	See Impact	See Likelihood	Use this box to describe how		
	of Risk		Table	Table	the score has been derived		
b) Co	ommunity Partnership	ips Risks]
,	•	•					
6	Community Safety	Service Disruption	II		Strategy continues to meet	Requires Treatment	No
	actions fail to meet	Financial Loss	I	_	majority of targets.	Last Review Date	30/6/17
	targets	Reputation	III	Г	Individual targets not met	Next Milestone Date	31/03/18
		Legal Implications	I		are being addressed by	Next Review Date	30/04/18
	Strategic Risk No. 5	People	I		local action plans. Reduced	Date Closed	
		,			funding increases likelihood		-
7	Failure to achieve	Service Disruption	I		No further funding secured	Requires Treatment	Yes
	the priorities of the	Financial Loss	I		yet for Local Strategic	Last Review Date	30/6/17
	Community Strategy	Reputation	III	D	Partnership	Next Milestone Date	14/03/18
	through the LSP	Legal Implications	I			Next Review Date	31/05/18
		People	I			Date Closed	
	Strategic Risk No 6						

8	Community consultation work fails to improve contact with hard to reach groups resulting in lack of clear evidence base for strategic plan.	Service Disruption Financial Loss Reputation Legal Implications People		E	Consultation being delivered on all key areas with additional funding from service departments.	Requires Treatment Last Review Date Next Milestone Date Next Review Date Date Closed	No 30/6/17 21/02/18 31/03/18
9	The Council fails to maintain its legal duties for equality and risks legal challenge	Service Disruption Financial Loss Reputation Legal Implications People		F	Statutory duties are being met from current resources.	Requires Treatment Last Review Date Next Milestone Date Next Review Date Date Closed	No 30/6/17 21/02/18 31/03/18
10	Targets in the Strategic Plan are not performance managed and fail to be achieved.	Service Disruption Financial Loss Reputation Legal Implications People	 	F	Data continues to be captured and reported to Management Board and Members.	Requires Treatment Last Review Date Next Milestone Date Next Review Date Date Closed	No 30/6/17 21/02/18 31/03/18
11	Failure to engage the community in the Strategic Plan Strategic Risk No. 4	Service Disruption Financial Loss Reputation Legal Implications People	I	E	Evidence held on successful consultations and high customer satisfaction data.	Requires Treatment Last Review Date Next Milestone Date Next Review Date Date Closed	Yes 30/6/17 21/02/18 31/03/18
12	Failure to secure improvements to services Strategic Risk No. 1	Service Disruption Financial Loss Reputation Legal Implications People	III	F	Additional public perception surveys introduced.	Requires Treatment Last Review Date Next Milestone Date Next Review Date Date Closed	No 30/6/17 21/02/18 31/03/18
13	Grants budget oversubscribed leading to poor publicity	Service Disruption Financial Loss Reputation Legal Implications People	- - II -	E	Budget yet to be oversubscribed	Requires Treatment Last Review Date Next Milestone Date Next Review Date Date Closed	No 30/6/17 21/02/18 31/03/18
14	The Council fails to maintain compliance with safeguarding children and adults	Service Disruption Financial Loss Reputation Legal Implications	- - III	E	Self-assessments and audits have shown the District to comply well to date.	Requires Treatment Last Review Date Next Milestone Date Next Review Date	Yes 30/6/17 21/02/18 31/03/18

at risk requirements.	People	II		Date Closed	

	Α							
Likelihood	В							
	С							
	D			7				
	Е		1, 8, 13	2, 3, 11, 14	4, 5			
	F			6, 9, 10, 12				
			II		IV	V		
		Impact						

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} Impact & Likelihood \\ V = Catastrophic & A = $\geq 98\% \\ IV = Critical & B = 75\% - 97\% \\ III = Significant & C = 50\% - 74\% \\ II = Marginal & D = 25\% - 49\% \\ I = Negligible & E = 3\% - 24\% \\ & F = $\leq 2\% \\ \end{tabular}$

Version Control

Version No.	Date	Reason for Update / Significant Changes	Made By
1.0	24/8/17	First Draft	AS
1.1	31/8/17	Revised PIs added in	AS
1.2	26/10/2017	Amended PI table	GG
1.3	27/12/17	Amended PIs following committee discussions	AS
1.4	21/02/18	Amended some wording following P& R – 11/12/2017. Amended PI section	GG