



Strategic CIL Bid Scoring Criteria

Applicant	
Project Location	
Project Description	
Amount of CIL Funding requested	
Total project Cost	

Mandatory requirements

For a project to be scored against the scoring criteria all mandatory requirements must be met:

1. The application form must be completed correctly
2. The organisation must be capable of carrying out the project and if land owner consent is required evidence of approval must be produced as part of the bid application
3. The project must meet the requirements of the Community Infrastructure Levy Regulations 2010 (as amended):
 - The project must be clearly defined as infrastructure as per the Planning Act 2008
 - Funding must be for "*the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of infrastructure* to support the development of its area
 - CIL is intended for the provision of new infrastructure and should not be used to remedy pre-existing deficiencies in infrastructure provision, unless those deficiencies will be made more severe by new development. The levy can be used to increase the capacity of existing infrastructure or to repair failing existing infrastructure, if that is necessary to support development.

Scoring Criteria

If a project fulfils the mandatory requirements Officers will assess it against the following scoring criteria:

Delivering Growth (25)

1) Will the project contribute towards the delivery of the Infrastructure Funding List and adopted Local Plan? Will the project support recent or proposed development in the area?

Strong link to the delivery of the Local Plan (15)	Some link to the delivery of the Local Plan (10)	Very little link to the delivery of the Local Plan (5)	No link to the delivery of the Local Plan (0)
---	---	---	--

2) Does the project link to the Three Rivers District Council Corporate Framework?

Strong link to Council priorities (10)	Some link to Council priorities (5)	No link to Council priorities (0)
---	--	--------------------------------------

Environment (10)

3) Does the project support the aims and targets of the Council's Climate Strategy? (Climate and Sustainability Implications Assessment Tool to be utilised).

Strong Support (10)	Some Support (5)	No Support (0)
------------------------	---------------------	-------------------

Community Support (30)

4) Is there evidence of a public benefit of the project?

Evidence of local and wider public benefit (10)	Evidence of local public benefit (5)	No/limited evidence of public benefit (0)
--	---	--

5) Is there evidence the local community support the project?

Significant evidence of community support (20)	Some evidence of community support (10)	No evidence of community support (0)
---	--	---

Project Cost (25)

6) Is the project value for money (VfM)? (considering: comparison of quotes provided, costs against benchmark costs, potential benefits and outcomes for the District, alternative funding sources available and the need for CIL, the added value which CIL could bring to the scheme)

Excellent evidence that all aspects of the project is VfM (10)	Good evidence that most aspects of the project is VfM (7)	Some evidence that aspects of the project is VfM (5)	Limited evidence that the project is VfM (3)	Evidence does not demonstrate project is VfM/Unknown (0)
---	--	---	---	---

7) Does the project have or unlock additional funding from other sources (e.g. match-funding, grants)

Over 75% funding from other sources (10)	50-74% funding from other sources (7)	25-49% funding from other sources (5)	Up to 25% funding from other sources (3)	Evidence does not demonstrate project is VfM/Unknown (0)
---	--	--	---	---

8) If the project has or unlocks funding from other sources, what is the status of this funding?			
Subject to CIL, funding secured/approved (5)	Alternative funding not currently applied for/secured/approved (3)	No funding from other sources/ Unknown (0)	
Deliverability (35)			
9) What evidence is there to suggest the project is deliverable? (consider: if planning permission would be required; what type of bid is the project, e.g. , preliminary works or project; is there a project plan that includes timetabling and resources; and that measures have been explored to ensure the project can be delivered within budget and timescales)			
Strong evidence supporting deliverability of the project (15)	Good evidence supporting deliverability of the project (10)	Some evidence supporting deliverability of the project (5)	No/limited evidence supporting deliverability of the project (0)
10) What is the delivery timescale for the project?			
Immediate (10)	Up to 5 years (6)	5-10 years (3)	More than 10 years/unknown (0)
11) Have details been given as to how ongoing maintenance will be provided for and the identification of the responsible party for the maintenance?			
Evidence of provider and cost for maintenance (10)	Evidence of provider but no cost for maintenance; or no evidence of provider but cost for maintenance (5)	No/limited evidence of provider or cost for maintenance (0)	

Project Score	/125
----------------------	------