

Physical Constraints:         Access         Flood Zone         Surface Water Flooding         Groundwater Flooding         Groundwater Source Protection Zone (GSPZ)         Contamination         Noise         Air Quality	<ul> <li>Building associated with Woodoaks Farm. In addition, there are two Grade II Listed Buildings to the south (Maple Lodge and Barn adjacent to Maple Lodge). There is also a Locally Listed Building located to the south-west (The Cross P.H.). A detailed heritage assessment may be required as part of any proposals.</li> <li>Flood Zone: The site is in Flood Zone 1.</li> <li>Surface Water Flood Risk: The majority of site is at negligible risk of surface water flood risk.</li> <li>Groundwater Flood Risk: The majority of the site area has groundwater levels between 0.5m and 5m below the surface. The eastern half of the site has groundwater levels ranging between 0.025m and 0.5m below the surface. The eastern half of the site has groundwater levels at or very near the surface (within 0.025m of the surface).</li> <li>GSPZ: The site is in GSPZ1, which is the most sensitive zone in terms of the potential risk of contamination to the groundwater source. A site in GSPZ1 can significantly constrain the density, scale and design of development; the Environment Agency have stated they would be likely to object in principle to tall buildings with deep foundations, infiltration drainage measures such as soakaways and underground car parks in GSPZ1. A preliminary risk assessment to determine whether there is contamination of the site, and whether remediation works would be needed, would be required at the pre-application stage to support any proposals on the site.</li> <li>Access: The main access to site is proposed via the existing access on Denham Way. HCC Highways state further work would be needed to determine whether access can be achieved.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>consulted with the Waste Planning Authority due to the sites close proximity to safeguarded waste facilities.</li> <li>Noise: Noise issues caused by the site's proximity to the A412 may have an impact on the site and its future occupiers.</li> <li>Odour: Maple Lodge Sewage Treatment Works is located to the south-east of the site. Mitigation may be needed to ensure that any development of the site would be acceptable in terms of odour exposure and the impact</li> </ul>
	on the amenity of any future occupiers.
Potential Environmental Impacts: • Landscape Character • Air Quality (AQMA) • Local Wildlife Site • Local Nature Reserve • SSSI	<ul> <li>The Landscape Sensitivity Assessment classifies the site as having a medium-low sensitivity to built development.</li> <li>The Landscape Sensitivity Assessment classifies the site as having a low sensitivity to the historic character.</li> </ul>
Ancient Woodland     Tree Preservation Order	
<ul><li>Tree Preservation Order</li><li>Ancient/Veteran Tree</li></ul>	
Further Constraints/Consideration	s:
<ul> <li>HCC Highways state the site is n trips however, utilising the Frogh- walking/cycling route and an exis nearby RAB.</li> </ul>	ot an ideal location but presents opportunity for sustainable all Cottage access would not be supported due to conflict with ting farm type access would not support intensification due to
HCC Minerals & Waste state con	sideration should be given to the 'Agent of Change' principle

in the NPPF (paragraph 187) due to the sites close proximity to safeguarded waste facilities (Waste Policy 5, Waste Core Strategy and Development Management Policies).

- HCC Ecology state biodiversity offsetting/net gain would be required with considerations to GI/wildlife links.
- The South West Herts Economic Study (2019) estimates a need for industrial and warehousing floorspace over the period to 2036. Taking into account the total requirement of 39,945sqm identified in the Economic Study and subtracting capacity at an existing allocation (Site E(d)), there is a total requirement for 21,945 sqm of industrial and warehousing space. The SW Herts Economic Study identifies a lack of supply of land suitable for small scale industrial uses in Three Rivers and states that the Council may wish to consider allocating additional sites to meet the needs of businesses servicing local markets.
- Settlement Hierarchy (Core Strategy, 2011): The site is not located within any settlement defined in the Settlement Hierarchy, however it is located within close proximity to the edge of Maple Cross which is a Secondary Centre.
- There is an allocated employment area in close proximity to the south of the site (Site E(d) Maple Cross/Maple Lodge) which is safeguarded for business, industrial and storage or distribution uses.

# Availability (ownership/legal issues)

The site is in single ownership and the site is being promoted by the landowner. The agent representing the landowner states that the site owner is an established developer and has the relevant expertise to either bring the site forward for development themselves or with a development partner.

### Achievability

The promoters of the site have not specified any issues regarding the viability in developing the site.

# **Potential Density**

i otentiai Den	Sity						
Landowner Proposed DPH			N/A	Landowner Proposed Dwelling Range			N/A
Indicative DPH			N/A	Indicative Dwelling Range		N/A	
Phasing							
0-5 years	Х	6-10 years	Х	11-15 years		16+ years	

Conclusion


Residential proposed only if delivered with adjacent land (Site CFS33a) which is not being taken forward.

Roadside Facilities (e.g Service Station/ Electric Charging Facilities/ Drive-thru/Retail) proposed will not be allocated through the Local Plan process as the Council does not have any evidence demonstrating a need for this use in this location. The need for roadside facilities will be considered at the planning application stage.

It is considered that the proposed employment use (B2 and B8) is an appropriate use and that mitigation measures could be implemented as part of a planning application to address constraints on the site. Any future proposals would also need to take account of the site's location in GSPZ1. Future proposals should also ensure that the development is acceptable in terms of odour exposure arising from the proximity of the site to Maple Lodge STW. Access improvements may be required as part of any employment development. As part of any future application, a detailed heritage impact assessment may be required to identify impacts on Listed Buildings and any necessary mitigation measures to protect the buildings and their setting.

The site is not considered to be in a sustainable location and goes against the spatial strategy as the site is not adjacent to a settlement in the Council's settlement hierarchy. It is therefore not considered suitable for B2/B8 uses or residential development.


considered suitable for DZ/Do uses of residential development.					
Suitable	No	Available	Yes	Achievable	Yes



<ul> <li>Potential Environmental Impacts:         <ul> <li>Landscape Character</li> <li>Landscape Character</li> <li>Air Quality (AQMA)</li> <li>Local Wildlife Site</li> <li>Local Nature Reserve</li> <li>SSSI</li> <li>Ancient Woodland</li> <li>Tree Preservation Order</li> <li>Ancient/Veteran Tree</li> </ul> </li> <li>HCC Ecology state the ancient woodland to the east and woodland to the south should not be illuminated.</li> <li>Settlement Hierarchy (Core Strategy, 2011): The site is located in the Bedmond, which is defined as a 'village' in the Settlement Hierarchy. The site is located within the area proposed for insetting in Bedmond.</li> <li>The site supports a local business and development would result in a loss of employment floorspace, unless re-provisioned.</li> </ul> <li>Promoters of the site are promoting the site with potential for the provision of local shops and a community use as a health centre or dentist although viability evidence would likely be needed to demonstrate that this is achievable.</li>						
<ul> <li>HCC Ecology state the ancient woodland to the east and woodland to the south should not be illuminated.</li> <li>Settlement Hierarchy (Core Strategy, 2011): The site is located in the Bedmond, which is defined as a 'village' in the Settlement Hierarchy. The site is located within the area proposed for insetting in Bedmond.</li> <li>The site supports a local business and development would result in a loss of employment floorspace, unless re-provisioned.</li> <li>Promoters of the site are promoting the site with potential for the provision of local shops and a community use as a health centre or dentist although viability evidence would likely be needed to demonstrate that this is achievable.</li> </ul>						
<ul> <li>be illuminated.</li> <li>Settlement Hierarchy (Core Strategy, 2011): The site is located in the Bedmond, which is defined as a 'village' in the Settlement Hierarchy. The site is located within the area proposed for insetting in Bedmond.</li> <li>The site supports a local business and development would result in a loss of employment floorspace, unless re-provisioned.</li> <li>Promoters of the site are promoting the site with potential for the provision of local shops and a community use as a health centre or dentist although viability evidence would likely be needed to demonstrate that this is achievable.</li> </ul>						
Availability (ownership/legal issues)						
Availability (ownership/legal issues)						
The site is in single ownership and the site is being promoted by the landowner.						
Achievability						
The promoters of the site have not specified any issues regarding the viability in developing the site.						
Potential Density						
Landowner Proposed DPH         50         Landowner Proposed Dwelling Range         20						
Indicative DPH30-50Indicative Dwelling Range12-20						
Phasing						
0-5 years x 6-10 years x 11-15 years 16+ years						
Conclusion						
The site is deemed suitable for development as it constitutes as brownfield land. Development						
would need to take into consideration the heritage assets adjacent to the site. The site is both available and achievable. The site is deemed deliverable.						
Suitable         Yes         Available         Yes         Achievable         Yes						

Site Ref	Address		Settlement	Site Area (ha)
NSS3		I Lane and Millhouse	Bedmond	0.6
	Lane, Bedmond	Harefield	MILLHOUSE LAN Woo	Ψ
Site Description The site is located in Bedmond and lies between Bell Lane and Millhouse Lane. The site is comprised of greenfield land which is in use as a woodland. The south of the site is bordered by Bell Lane and Millhouse Lane runs along the north of the boundary. The site is surrounded by woodland to the west and open grassland to the east, with a single residential dwelling located to				he site is is bordered by rrounded by elling located to
Use(s) Propose		ne south there is low-de Residential (Use Class		ent.
Planning Histo				
There is no relev	vant planning history	y on the site.		
Suitability				
AONB	sets Listed n Area k and Garden	<ul> <li>falls into two Green the Stage 2 Green releasing the wider located) was asses Green Belt of relea eastern part of the moderate harm.</li> <li>Historic Environn within the site bour Buildings to the ea Listed Buildings to</li> </ul>		ere assessed in the Green Belt of rity of the site is n. Harm to the ch the south sessed as low- ritage assets are Listed and Locally
<ul> <li>Physical Const</li> <li>Access</li> <li>Flood Zone</li> <li>Surface Wa</li> <li>Groundwate</li> </ul>	ter Flooding	<ul> <li>Surface Water Floor</li> <li>surface water floor</li> <li>Groundwater Floor</li> </ul>	site is in Flood Zone 1. od Risk: The site is a ding. od Risk: Groundwater ow the ground surface	t negligible risk of levels of the site

<ul> <li>Groundwater Source Protection Zone (GSPZ)</li> <li>Contamination</li> <li>Noise</li> <li>Air Quality</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>would lik narrow, v also no f possible varying v access to surfaced improver</li> <li>Contam</li> </ul>	ely be extremely winding and unlit, ootways along M from Bell Lane, v vidths of betweer o approximately 4 . Depending on t nents to Bell Lan	ouse Lane may be challenging as the with poor visibility illhouse Lane. Acc which is an unmach of 3.1 and 5 metres to dwellings. The he scale of develo e would be requir h-east of the site fill Site.	is road is y. There are cess may be de private road s providing road is roughly opment, ed.	
<ul> <li>Potential Environmental Impacts:</li> <li>Landscape Character</li> <li>Air Quality (AQMA)</li> <li>Local Wildlife Site</li> <li>Local Nature Reserve</li> <li>SSSI</li> <li>Ancient Woodland</li> <li>Tree Preservation Order</li> <li>The Landscape Sensitivity Assessment classifies the site as having a high sensitivity to built development.</li> <li>The Landscape Sensitivity Assessment classifies the site as having a medium sensitivity to the historic character.</li> <li>Tree Preservation Order: All woodland on the site is covered by a Tree Preservation Order (TPO814).</li> </ul>					
Ancient/Veteran Tree					
<ul> <li>Further Constraints/Considerations:</li> <li>HCC Ecology state biodiversity offsetting/net gain would be required. The site is adjacent</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>HCC Ecology state blodiversity onsetting/net gain would be required. The site is adjacent to NSS12 with similar habitat. Woodland should not be illuminated.</li> <li>HCC Highways have raised access issues with adjacent site NSS12 which has a similar profile to NSS3.</li> <li>Settlement Hierarchy (Core Strategy, 2011): The site is outside of any defined settlement, however it is located on the edge of Bedmond, which is defined as a 'village' in the Settlement Hierarchy.</li> <li>There is a Public Right of Way which runs through the site from east to west.</li> </ul>					
Availability (ownership/legal issues)					
The site is in single ownership and the site is being promoted by the landowner.					
Achievability The promoters of the site have not specified any issues regarding the viability in developing the					
site.	specified any I	ssues regarding		eroping the	
Potential Density					
Landowner Proposed DPH	N/A	Landowner Pro	posed Dwelling R	ange N/A	
Indicative DPH	20-30	Indicative Dwel		12-18	
Phasing			<u> </u>		
0-5 years x 6-10 year	s	11-15 years	16+ yea	ars	
Conclusion			· · ·	·	
The site is entirely covered by prote		l is therefore con	sidered to be uns	uitable. The	
site is considered to be undeliverab		1			
Suitable No A	vailable	Yes	Achievable	Yes	



# Site Description

The site is located in Chorleywood. Majority of the site is comprised of previously developed land and is currently in use as retirement and care housing. The south east area within the site boundary is comprised of greenfield land which is in use as woodland. To the north, east and south of the site there is residential development. To the west of the site there is grassland with two areas containing as residential development.

Use(s) Proposed	Intensification of retirement and care housing (Use Class C2)
Planning History	

There have been a number of planning applications submitted relating to various trees, fencing and landscape works across the Village.

In 2020 there was a Full Planning Application (20/1241/FUL) submitted for the conversion of five garages into office space. The application was approved.

In 2010 there was an application (10/1395/FUL) submitted for the Change of Use of two garages into maintenance workshops. The application was approved.

In 2010 there was also a Full Planning Application (10/2237/FUL) submitted for the erection of two elderly persons dwellings and associated site works. The application was subsequently withdrawn.

In 2009 there was a Full Planning Application (09/1828/FUL) submitted for the erection of two elderly persons dwellings and associated works. The application was granted planning permission. In 2011 There was a Non-Material Amendment (11/2209/NMA) relating to planning permission 09/1828/FUL submitted for minor amendments to path layouts, refuse bin storage and other minor modifications. The application was refused.

In 2009 there was a Full Planning Application (09/1843/FUL) submitted for the erection of three elderly persons dwellings and associated works. The application was initially refused but later approved at appeal.

In 2012 there was a Non-Material Amendment (12/0267/NMA) relating to planning permission 09/1843/FUL submitted for a change in windows, doors and fenestration. The application was initially refused but later approved at appeal.

In 2007 there was a Listed Building Consent (07/1359/LBC) submitted for the internal conversion of two flats into a single residential unit at The Cedars, a Grade II Listed building. The application was approved.

Quitability	
Suitability	
<ul> <li>Policy Constraints:</li> <li>Green Belt</li> <li>Heritage Assets Listed Buildings</li> <li>Conservation Area</li> <li>Historic Park and Garden</li> <li>AONB</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Green Belt: The site is not within the Green Belt.</li> <li>Historic Environment: There is a Grade II listed building located within the site to the north east, called The Cedars. The site is also located in the Chorleywood Conservation Area. Any future proposals would need to be accompanied by a detailed heritage impact assessment and discussions with the Conservation Officer.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Physical Constraints:</li> <li>Access</li> <li>Flood Zone</li> <li>Surface Water Flooding</li> <li>Groundwater Flooding</li> <li>Groundwater Source Protection Zone (GSPZ)</li> <li>Contamination</li> <li>Noise</li> <li>Air Quality</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Flood Zone: The site is in Flood Zone 1.</li> <li>Surface Water Flood Risk: Majority of the site is at negligible risk of surface water flooding. There is a small area to the south east of the site which is at low risk of surface water flooding.</li> <li>Groundwater Flood Risk: Groundwater levels of the site are atleast 5m below the ground surface.</li> <li>GSPZ: The south east to west of the site is in GSPZ2. The north west to east of the site has not been assessed.</li> <li>Access: There is existing vehicular access provision from Dog Kennel Lane, to the west of the site. HCC Highways state Dog Kennel Lane may constrain the scale of development due to widths and existing traffic levels, along with impacts to vulnerable road users.</li> <li>Noise: The site is located within close proximity to the M25.</li> </ul>
Potential Environmental Impacts: Landscape Character Air Quality (AQMA) Local Wildlife Site Local Nature Reserve SSSI Ancient Woodland Tree Preservation Order Ancient/Veteran Tree	<ul> <li>The Landscape Sensitivity Assessment classifies the site as having a high sensitivity to built development.</li> <li>The Landscape Sensitivity Assessment classifies the site as having a high sensitivity to the historic character.</li> <li>Local wildlife site: There are three designated Local Wildlife Sites to the north and centre of the site.</li> <li>Tree Preservation Order: There are protected trees throughout the site (TPO013).</li> <li>Ecology: HCC Ecology state any development should have an undeveloped buffer to the western edge due to proximity to the Local Nature Reserve. A buffer would also be required to the northern edge due to proximity to the Local Wildlife Site.</li> </ul>
Further Constraints/Consideration	ns:
<ul> <li>the Public Right of Way would be the Public Right of Way would be the Way would be unsound at this site would be unsound at the Public Recolution of the Minerals Planning Auth Resource Assessment (MR extraction can be implement)</li> </ul>	isting highway is constrained with no pedestrian provision and uld not mitigate this unless it is to an adoptable standard. ut suitable walking routes to nearby services, the allocation of and not supported. nd/trees should be retained and illuminating against them nority would request policy wording to require a Minerals A) to investigate and evaluate whether opportunistic mineral ted during proposed development.

 Settlement Hierarchy (Core Strategy, 2011): The site is located in Chorleywood, which is defined as a 'key centre' in the Settlement Hierarchy.

- Strategic advantage: development proposal for the intensification of existing Cedar's Village retirement home would not result in demolition and re-construction.
- The Local Housing Needs Assessment (LNHA) considers the need for older persons accommodation within a C2 Use Class and estimates a notable need for 683 care beds over the period 2020 2036.

# Availability (ownership/legal issues)

The site is not owned by the promoter however they do have the consent by the landowners and have indicated that the site is immediately available for development. The promoters have now withdrawn the site.

### Achievability

The promoters of the site have not specified any issues regarding the viability in developing the site.

# Potential Density

ыцу					
Landowner Proposed DPH			Landowner Proposed Dwelling Range		N/A
Indicative DPH			Indicative Dwelling Range		N/A
Х	6-10 years		11-15 years	16+ years	
	posed D	pposed DPH	pposed DPH N/A N/A	oposed DPH N/A Landowner Pro N/A Indicative Dwe	N/A         Landowner Proposed Dwelling Range           N/A         Indicative Dwelling Range

### Conclusion

Development would need to take into consideration the heritage assets, Chorleywood Conservation Area and the presence of three designated Local Wildlife Sites within the vicinity of the site. The site is deemed unsuitable for intensification due to the number of constraints.


There are also protected trees within the vicinity of the site. Therefore site is also considered undeliverable.

The promoters have now withdrawn the site, so it			is now considere	d unavailable.	
Suitable	No	Available	No	Achievable	No


Site Ref	Address	Settlement	Site Area (ha)	
NSS5	Clancy Group HQ, Harefield	West Hyde	1.3	
FB Pynesfield Lake	Path Joe FB			
0 45 90 180	) 270 Meters		and database right 2022 Ordnance Survey 100018686	
which is in use as of workshop buildings built footprint of 2,69 manoeuvring of Clar road (approximately access road, there is	n Harefield. The site is comprised of ffices, workshops, ancillary building on the site and combined with othe 06 sqm. The remainder of the site in ncy Group's vehicles. The north of 400 metres in length) which can be s vehicle parking facilities, grasslat	gs and parking. The two er ancillary structures, re s hard surfaced and use the site is comprised of be accessed from Park L and and a public house. T	substantial sult in an existing d for the parking and a vehicular access ane. Adjacent to the o the north-east	
there is an office building and public pathway which runs along the eastern boundary, alongside f Grand Union Canal. Opposite the canal there are residential buildings. The south and west of the				
site are bordered by Use(s) Proposed	River Colne and are adjacent to g	rassland and Pynesfield Class C3) with retention		
Planning History				
	planning history on the site.			
<ul> <li>Green Belt</li> <li>Heritage Assets Listed Buildings</li> <li>Conservation Area</li> <li>Historic Park and Garden</li> <li>AONB</li> <li>Was not a structure</li> <li>Was not a structure</li> <li>Was not a structure</li> <li>Revise relea</li> <li>relea</li> <li>resulture</li> <li>Historic which</li> </ul>		The site is located in the ssed as part of the Stage Stage 2 Green Belt Revi y land outside the asses ast high harm to the Gree <b>ironment:</b> There is a Co close proximity of the site north and east of the site	e 2 Green Belt ew states that the sment area would en Belt. Inservation Area e with areas	
<ul> <li>Physical Constraint</li> <li>Access</li> <li>Flood Zone</li> <li>Surface Water Flore</li> <li>Groundwater Flore</li> </ul>	Flooding The site's we from which an residential de	The site is in Flood Zone stern boundary is adjace n 8m buffer would be req velopment. The western e 2. The eastern portion of	ent to Flood Zone 3b juired to any portion of the site is	

	r · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
<ul> <li>Groundwater Source Protection Zone (GSPZ)</li> <li>Contamination</li> <li>Noise</li> <li>Air Quality</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Zone 1. The access road into the site is in Flood Zones 3b, 3a and 2.</li> <li>Surface Water Flood Risk: The site is at low risk of surface water flood Risk: Groundwater levels of the site are between 0.5m below the ground surface and at the very near (within 0.025m of) the ground surface.</li> <li>GSPZ: The site is in GSPZ1, which is the most sensitive zone in terms of the potential risk of contamination to the groundwater source. A site in GSPZ1 can significantly constrain the density, scale and design of development; the Environment Agency have stated they would be likely to object in principle to tall buildings with deep foundations, infiltration drainage measures such as soakaways and underground car parks in GSPZ1. A preliminary risk assessment to determine whether there is contamination of the site, and whether remediation works would be needed, would be required at the pre-application stage to support any proposals on the site.</li> <li>Access: There is an existing private vehicular access provision from Copper Mill Lane, to the north of the site. The access would be shared between the office and depot on land surrounding the site. The access is approximately 350m in length and is narrow, with little possibility of widening given the adjacent waterbody and its location in a Local Wildlife Site. There is also a narrow bridge which has to be passed over in order to arrive at the site; this would likely require widening. HCC Highways state clarity over the access route would be required and pedestrian access would be needed as a shared space solution is not likely to be acceptable. The canal route does not appear to have any lighting and may not be a suitable surface for accessibility requirements.</li> </ul>
Potential Environmental Impacts: • Landscape Character • Air Quality (AQMA)	<ul> <li>The Landscape Sensitivity Assessment classifies the site as having a medium-low sensitivity to built development.</li> <li>The Landscape Sensitivity Assessment classifies the site as having a medium-low sensitivity to the historic</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>All Quality (AQUIA)</li> <li>Local Wildlife Site</li> <li>Local Nature Reserve</li> <li>SSSI</li> <li>Ancient Woodland</li> <li>Tree Preservation Order</li> <li>Ancient/Veteran Tree</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Local Wildlife Site: The site is within close proximity to a Local Wildlife Site to the west. The existing vehicular access to the north of the site, is designated as part of the Local Wildlife Site.</li> <li>Tree Preservation Order: There are protected trees along the south and west border of the site (TPO056)</li> </ul>
Further Constraints/Consideration	ns:
<ul> <li>HCC Ecology state illumina</li> <li>HCC Highways state the si pedestrian routes do not co opportunity to reduce the n</li> </ul>	tion onto the nearby Local Wildlife Site should be avoided. te is in a poor location for sustainable development and off site onnect to the limited nearby facilities. There is also minimal eed to travel or access service and facilities by sustainable
<ul><li>prior to non-mineral develo</li><li>Settlement Hierarchy (Core</li></ul>	te encourage opportunistic extraction of minerals for use on site pment. • <i>Strategy, 2011):</i> The site is outside of any defined settlement, close proximity to the West Hyde Settlement, which is defined
<ul><li>as 'other settlement' in the</li><li>The South West Herts Eco</li></ul>	

<ul> <li>of 39,945sqm identified in the Economic Study and subtracting capacity at an existing allocation (Site E(d)), there is a total requirement for 21,945 sqm of industrial and warehousing space. The SW Herts Economic Study identifies a lack of supply of land suitable for small scale industrial uses in Three Rivers and states that the Council may wish to consider allocating additional sites to meet the needs of businesses servicing local markets. It is stated by the promoter that the majority of staff employed on the site will either be remain employed on the site or be relocated within the local area. However, residential development of the site would result in the loss of storage and distribution/warehousing uses through redevelopment of the plant yard, workshops and other buildings.</li> <li>There is a Public Right of Way to the north of the site, which crosses through the existing vehicular access provision on site from east to west.</li> </ul>							
Availability (ov							
	ngle ownership	and the s	ite is bein	g promoted by th	e landowner.		
Achievability							
•	of the site have	not spec	ified any i	ssues regarding	the viability in o	developing	the
site.	• .						
Potential Dens			1				
Landowner Pro	posed DPH		30	Landowner Pro		g Range	36
Indicative DPH			20-30	Indicative Dwel	ling Range		26-36
Phasing			1				1
0-5 years	x 6-10	years	Х	11-15 years	16+	years	
Conclusion							
				not located withir			
				Belt of releasing			
				n-strategic. Alloc			
				ehicular access			
and approximately 350m in length and would require significant improvements and/or widening,							
which are not considered to be achievable given the road's designation as a Local Wildlife Site and							
	adjacency to Flood Zone 3b. In addition, residential development of the site would result in the loss of storage and distribution/warehousing floorspace, for which there is a significant need estimated						
				s also not in a su			
				ne site is conside	red unsuitable	for resider	าแลเ
development. T Suitable	No	Availa		Yes	Achievable	Yes	
Suitable	INU	Availa	able	162	Achievable	Tes	



site includes/has potential to include heritage assets of archaeological interest; any development proposals on noise should be accompanied by a pre-application or pre-determination archaeological assessment.         Physical Constraints: <ul> <li>Flood Zone</li> <li>Surface Water Flooding</li> <li>Groundwater Flooding</li> <li>Groundwater Source</li> <li>Protection Zone (GSP2)</li> <li>Contamination</li> <li>Air Quality</li> <li>Air Quality</li> <li>Access from East Lane. Hore is also a gated entrance to the site at low-west of the site of East Lane. Hore high aways advise access from East Lane. Hore High aways advise access from East Lane. Hore High aways advise access from East Lane. Hore would be fore any consideration and a shared surface solution would be needed.</li> </ul> Potential Environmental Impacts: <ul> <li>Landscape Character</li> <li>Landscape Character</li> <li>Local Wildife Site</li> <li>Local Wildife Site</li> <li>Local Wildife Site</li> <li>Local Wildife Site</li> <li>HCC Ecology state alluminating trees should be avoided.</li> <li>HCC Minerals &amp; Waste state encourage opportunistic extraction of minerals for use on s prior to non-mineral development. Any development must acknowledge and mitigate the impacts of the nearby waste facility, in the Design and Access Size and the site's location.</li> <li>Settlement Hierarchy (Core Strategy, 2011). The site is partially within and partially adjacent to the village of Bedmond and the proposed inset area.</li> </ul> <li>HCC Minerals &amp; Waste state encourage opportunistic extraction of minerals for use on s prior to non-mineral development Proposed inset area.</li>		
Potential Environmental Impacts: <ul> <li>The Landscape Sensitivity Assessment classifies as having low sensitivity to built development.</li> <li>Landscape Character</li> <li>Air Quality (AQMA)</li> <li>Local Wildlife Site</li> <li>Local Nature Reserve</li> <li>SSSI</li> <li>Ancient Woodland</li> <li>Tree Preservation Order</li> <li>Ancient/Veteran Tree</li> </ul> <li>Further Constraints/Considerations:         <ul> <li>HCC Ecology state aluffer should be provide to protect adjacent habitats.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Further Constraints/Considerations:         <ul> <li>HCC Ecology state illuminating trees should be avoided.</li> <li>HCC Cology state illuminating trees should be avoided.</li> <li>HCC Highways have state that Site PCS25, which is located within the site, presents significant concern that Local Transport Policy could be met due to the site's location.</li> <li>Settlement Hierarchy (Core Strategy, 2011): The site is partially within and partially adjacent to the village of Bedmond and the proposed inset area.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Availability (ownership/legal issues)         <ul> <li>The promoters of the site have not specified any issues regarding the viability in developing the site.</li> <li>Potential Density             <ul> <li>Landowner Proposed DPH</li> <li>15-25</li> <li>Indicative Dwelling Range</li> <li>27</li> <li>Indicative DPH</li> <li>15-25</li> <li>Indicative Dwelling Range</li> <li>27</li> <li>Indicative DWelling Range</li> <li>27</li> <li>Indicative DWelling Range</li> <li>27</li> <li>Indicative DWelling Range</li> <li>23<td><ul> <li>Access</li> <li>Flood Zone</li> <li>Surface Water Flooding</li> <li>Groundwater Flooding</li> <li>Groundwater Source Protection Zone (GSPZ)</li> <li>Contamination</li> <li>Noise</li> </ul></td><td><ul> <li>site includes/has potential to include heritage assets of archaeological interest; any development proposals on the site should be accompanied by a pre-application or predetermination archaeological assessment.</li> <li>Flood Zone: The site is in Flood Zone 1.</li> <li>Surface Water Flooding: There is a small area at the east of the site at low-medium risk of surface water flooding.</li> <li>Access: Access to the site is currently via a residential driveway to the north-west of the site off East Lane. There is also a gated entrance to the site at the south-west which could provide access from East Lane. HCC Highways advise access from East Lane is not suitable for any development, an access solution would be needed before any consideration and a shared surface solution would not be acceptable. It is also expected that enhanced crossing facilities of High Street would be</li> </ul></td></li></ul></li></ul></li>	<ul> <li>Access</li> <li>Flood Zone</li> <li>Surface Water Flooding</li> <li>Groundwater Flooding</li> <li>Groundwater Source Protection Zone (GSPZ)</li> <li>Contamination</li> <li>Noise</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>site includes/has potential to include heritage assets of archaeological interest; any development proposals on the site should be accompanied by a pre-application or predetermination archaeological assessment.</li> <li>Flood Zone: The site is in Flood Zone 1.</li> <li>Surface Water Flooding: There is a small area at the east of the site at low-medium risk of surface water flooding.</li> <li>Access: Access to the site is currently via a residential driveway to the north-west of the site off East Lane. There is also a gated entrance to the site at the south-west which could provide access from East Lane. HCC Highways advise access from East Lane is not suitable for any development, an access solution would be needed before any consideration and a shared surface solution would not be acceptable. It is also expected that enhanced crossing facilities of High Street would be</li> </ul>
Impacts:       having low sensitivity to built development.         • Landscape Character       having low sensitivity Assessment classifies the sit as having a low sensitivity to the historic character.         • Local Wildlife Site       • Ecology: HCC Ecology state a buffer should be provide to protect adjacent habitats.         • SSSI       Ancient Woodland         • Tree Preservation Order       • HCC Ecology state illuminating trees should be avoided.         • HCC Minerals & Waste state encourage opportunistic extraction of minerals for use on s prior to non-mineral development. Any development must acknowledge and mitigate the impacts of the nearby waste facility, in the Design and Access Statement.         • HCC Highways have stated that Site PCS25, which is located within the site, presents significant concern that Local Transport Policy could be met due to the site's location.         • Settlement Hierarchy (Core Strategy, 2011): The site is partially within and partially adjacent to the village of Bedmond and the proposed inset area.         Availability (ownership/legal issues)         The promoters of the site have not specified any issues regarding the viability in developing the site.         Potential Density         Landowner Proposed DPH       23         Landowner Proposed DPH       15-25         Indicative DPH       15-25         Phasing       0-5 years         0-5 years       x         0-5 years       x         0-5 wasts he risk	Detential Environmental	
<ul> <li>Landscape Character</li> <li>Air Quality (AQMA)</li> <li>Local Wildlife Site</li> <li>Local Nature Reserve</li> <li>SSSI</li> <li>Ancient Woodland</li> <li>Tree Preservation Order</li> <li>Ancient/Veteran Tree</li> <li>Further Constraints/Considerations:</li> <li>HCC Ecology state illuminating trees should be avoided.</li> <li>HCC Minerals &amp; Waste state encourage opportunistic extraction of minerals for use on s prior to non-mineral development. Any development must acknowledge and mitigate the impacts of the nearby waste facility, in the Design and Access Statement.</li> <li>HCC Highways have stated that Site PCS25, which is located within the site, presents significant concern that Local Transport Policy could be met due to the site's location.</li> <li>Settlement Hierarchy (Core Strategy, 2011): The site is partially within and partially adjacent to the village of Bedmond and the proposed inset area.</li> <li>Availability (ownership/legal issues)</li> <li>The site is in single ownership and is being promoted by the landowner.</li> <li>Achievability</li> <li>The promoters of the site have not specified any issues regarding the viability in developing the site.</li> <li>Potential Density</li> <li>Landowner Proposed DPH</li> <li>15-25 Indicative Dwelling Range</li> <li>27 Indicative DPH</li> <li>15-25 Indicative Dwelling Range</li> <li>27 Indicative DPH</li> <li>23 Landowner Proposed Dwelling Range</li> <li>27 Indicative DPH</li> <li>23 Landowner Proposed Dwelling Range</li> <li>27 Indicative Development would also need to tak into consideration the heritage assets within the vicinity of the site. The site is both available and the vicinity of the site. The site is both available and the vicinity of the site. The site is both available and the vicinity of the site.</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Air Quality (AQMA)</li> <li>Local Wildlife Site</li> <li>Local Nature Reserve</li> <li>SSSI</li> <li>Ancient Woodland</li> <li>Tree Preservation Order</li> <li>Ancient/Veteran Tree</li> </ul> Further Constraints/Considerations: <ul> <li>HCC Ecology state illuminating trees should be avoided.</li> <li>HCC Ecology state alluminating trees should be avoided.</li> <li>HCC Minerals &amp; Waste state encourage opportunistic extraction of minerals for use on s prior to non-mineral development. Any development must acknowledge and mitigate the impacts of the nearby waste facility, in the Design and Access Statement. <ul> <li>HCC Highways have stated that Site PCS25, which is located within the site, presents significant concern that Local Transport Policy could be met due to the site's location.</li> <li>Settlement Hierarchy (Core Strategy, 2011): The site is partially within and partially adjacent to the village of Bedmond and the proposed inset area.</li> </ul> Availability (ownership/legal issues) The site is in single ownership and is being promoted by the landowner. Achievability The promoters of the site have not specified any issues regarding the viability in developing the site. Potential Density Landowner Proposed DPH 15-25 Indicative DPH 15-25 Indicative DPH 15-25 Indicative DPH 16+ years Conclusion The site is deemed suitable for residential development subject to appropriate mitigation measure to address the risk of surface water flooding to the east site. Development would also need to tak into consideration the heritage assets within the vicinity of the site. The site is both available and the site into consideration the heritage assets within the vicinity of the site. The site is both available and the site. The site is both available and the site.</li></ul>	-	
<ul> <li>Local Wildlife Site</li> <li>Local Nature Reserve</li> <li>SSSI</li> <li>Ancient Woodland</li> <li>Tree Preservation Order</li> <li>Ancient/Veteran Tree</li> </ul> Further Constraints/Considerations: <ul> <li>HCC Ecology state illuminating trees should be avoided.</li> <li>HCC Minerals &amp; Waste state encourage opportunistic extraction of minerals for use on s prior to non-mineral development. Any development must acknowledge and mitigate the impacts of the nearby waste facility, in the Design and Access Statement. <ul> <li>HCC Highways have stated that Site PCS25, which is located within the site, presents significant concern that Local Transport Policy could be met due to the site's location.</li> <li>Settlement Hierarchy (Core Strategy, 2011): The site is partially within and partially adjacent to the village of Bedmond and the proposed inset area.</li> <li>Availability (ownership/legal issues)</li> <li>The site is in single ownership and is being promoted by the landowner.</li> <li>Achievability</li> <li>The promoters of the site have not specified any issues regarding the viability in developing the site.</li> <li>Potential Density</li> <li>Landowner Proposed DPH</li> <li>15-25</li> <li>Indicative DPH</li> <li>15-25</li> <li>Indicative DPH</li> <li>15-25</li> <li>Indicative Dwelling Range</li> <li>27</li> <li>Indicative DPH</li> <li>16-10 years</li> <li>x 11-15 years</li> <li>16+ years</li> <li>Conclusion</li> <li>The site is deemed suitable for residential development subject to appropriate mitigation measure to address the risk of surface water flooding to the east site. D</li></ul></li></ul>		
Local Nature Reserve     SSSI     to protect adjacent habitats.     to protect adjacent habitats.     SSSI     Ancient Woodland     Tree Preservation Order     Ancient/Veteran Tree      Further Constraints/Considerations:     HCC Ecology state illuminating trees should be avoided.     HCC Minerals & Waste state encourage opportunistic extraction of minerals for use on s     prior to non-mineral development. Any development must acknowledge and mitigate the     impacts of the nearby waste facility, in the Design and Access Statement.     HCC Highways have stated that Site PCS25, which is located within the site, presents     significant concern that Local Transport Policy could be met due to the site's location.     Settlement Hierarchy (Core Strategy, 2011): The site is partially within and partially     adjacent to the village of Bedmond and the proposed inset area.      Availability (ownership/legal issues)     The site is in single ownership and is being promoted by the landowner.      Achievability     The promoters of the site have not specified any issues regarding the viability in developing the     site.     Potential Density     Landowner Proposed DPH		•
<ul> <li>Ancient Woodland         <ul> <li>Tree Preservation Order</li> <li>Ancient/Veteran Tree</li> </ul> </li> <li>Further Constraints/Considerations:         <ul> <li>HCC Ecology state illuminating trees should be avoided.</li> <li>HCC Minerals &amp; Waste state encourage opportunistic extraction of minerals for use on s prior to non-mineral development. Any development must acknowledge and mitigate the impacts of the nearby waste facility, in the Design and Access Statement.</li> <li>HCC Highways have stated that Site PCS25, which is located within the site, presents significant concern that Local Transport Policy could be met due to the site's location.</li> <li>Settlement Hierarchy (Core Strategy, 2011): The site is partially within and partially adjacent to the village of Bedmond and the proposed inset area.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Availability (ownership/legal issues)     <ul> <li>The site is in single ownership and is being promoted by the landowner.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Achievability         <ul> <li>The promoters of the site have not specified any issues regarding the viability in developing the site.</li> <li>Potential Density             <ul> <li>Landowner Proposed DPH</li> <li>23</li> <li>Landowner Proposed DPH</li> <li>23</li> <li>Landowner Proposed DPH</li> <li>24</li> <li>Phasing</li> <li>-5 years x</li> <li>-6-10 years x</li> <li>-5 years</li> <li>-5 years</li> <li>-6-10 years x</li> <li>-11-15 years</li> <li>-16+ years</li> <li>-5 years is deemed suitable for residential development subject to appropriate mitigation measure to address the risk of surface water flooding to the east site. Development would also need to tak into consideration the heritage assets within th</li></ul></li></ul></li></ul>	Local Nature Reserve	
Tree Preservation Order     Ancient/Veteran Tree  Further Constraints/Considerations:     HCC Ecology state illuminating trees should be avoided.     HCC Minerals & Waste state encourage opportunistic extraction of minerals for use on s     prior to non-mineral development. Any development must acknowledge and mitigate the     impacts of the nearby waste facility, in the Design and Access Statement.     HCC Highways have stated that Site PCS25, which is located within the site, presents     significant concern that Local Transport Policy could be met due to the site's location.     Settlement Hierarchy (Core Strategy, 2011): The site is partially within and partially     adjacent to the village of Bedmond and the proposed inset area.  Availability (ownership/legal issues) The site is in single ownership and is being promoted by the landowner.  Achievability The promoters of the site have not specified any issues regarding the viability in developing the     site.  Potential Density Landowner Proposed DPH     15-25 Indicative Dwelling Range     77 Indicative DPH     77 Conclusion     77 The site is deemed suitable for residential development subject to appropriate mitigation measure     to address the risk of surface water flooding to the east site. Development would also need to tak     into consideration the heritage assets within the vicinity of the site. The site is both available and	• SSSI	
Ancient/Veteran Tree     Further Constraints/Considerations:         HCC Ecology state illuminating trees should be avoided.         HCC Minerals & Waste state encourage opportunistic extraction of minerals for use on s prior to non-mineral development. Any development must acknowledge and mitigate the impacts of the nearby waste facility, in the Design and Access Statement.         HCC Highways have stated that Site PCS25, which is located within the site, presents significant concern that Local Transport Policy could be met due to the site's location.         Settlement Hierarchy (Core Strategy, 2011): The site is partially within and partially adjacent to the village of Bedmond and the proposed inset area.         Availability (ownership/legal issues)         The site is in single ownership and is being promoted by the landowner. <u>Achievability         The promoters of the site have not specified any issues regarding the viability in developing the site.         Potential Density         Landowner Proposed DPH 23 Landowner Proposed Dwelling Range 27         Indicative DPH 15-25 Indicative Dwelling Range 18-3         Phasing         O-5 years x 6-10 years x 11-15 years 16+ years         Conclusion         The site is deemed suitable for residential development subject to appropriate mitigation measure to address the risk of surface water flooding to the east site. Development would also need to tak into consideration the heritage assets within the vicinity of the site. The site is both available and </u>	Ancient Woodland	
Further Constraints/Considerations:         • HCC Ecology state illuminating trees should be avoided.         • HCC Minerals & Waste state encourage opportunistic extraction of minerals for use on s prior to non-mineral development. Any development must acknowledge and mitigate the impacts of the nearby waste facility, in the Design and Access Statement.         • HCC Highways have stated that Site PCS25, which is located within the site, presents significant concern that Local Transport Policy could be met due to the site's location.         • Settlement Hierarchy (Core Strategy, 2011): The site is partially within and partially adjacent to the village of Bedmond and the proposed inset area.         Availability (ownership/legal issues)         The site is in single ownership and is being promoted by the landowner.         Achievability         The promoters of the site have not specified any issues regarding the viability in developing the site.         Potential Density         Landowner Proposed DPH       23         Landowner Proposed DPH       15-25         Indicative DPH       15-25         Indicative DPH       16+ years         0-5 years       x         6-10 years       x       11-15 years         The site is deemed suitable for residential development subject to appropriate mitigation measure to address the risk of surface water flooding to the east site. Development would also need to tak into consideration the heritage assets within the vicinity of the site. The site is both available and<		
<ul> <li>HCC Ecology state illuminating trees should be avoided.</li> <li>HCC Minerals &amp; Waste state encourage opportunistic extraction of minerals for use on s prior to non-mineral development. Any development must acknowledge and mitigate the impacts of the nearby waste facility, in the Design and Access Statement.</li> <li>HCC Highways have stated that Site PCS25, which is located within the site, presents significant concern that Local Transport Policy could be met due to the site's location.</li> <li>Settlement Hierarchy (Core Strategy, 2011): The site is partially within and partially adjacent to the village of Bedmond and the proposed inset area.</li> <li>Availability (ownership/legal issues)</li> <li>The site is in single ownership and is being promoted by the landowner.</li> <li>Achievability</li> <li>The promoters of the site have not specified any issues regarding the viability in developing the site.</li> <li>Potential Density</li> <li>Landowner Proposed DPH</li> <li>15-25</li> <li>Indicative DPH</li> <li>0-5 years</li> <li>x</li> <li>6-10 years</li> <li>x</li> <li>11-15 years</li> <li>16+ years</li> <li>Conclusion</li> <li>The site is deemed suitable for residential development subject to appropriate mitigation measure to address the risk of surface water flooding to the east site. Development would also need to tak into consideration the heritage assets within the vicinity of the site. The site is both available and</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>HCC Minerals &amp; Waste state encourage opportunistic extraction of minerals for use on s prior to non-mineral development. Any development must acknowledge and mitigate the impacts of the nearby waste facility, in the Design and Access Statement.</li> <li>HCC Highways have stated that Site PCS25, which is located within the site, presents significant concern that Local Transport Policy could be met due to the site's location.</li> <li>Settlement Hierarchy (Core Strategy, 2011): The site is partially within and partially adjacent to the village of Bedmond and the proposed inset area.</li> <li>Availability (ownership/legal issues)         <ul> <li>The site is in single ownership and is being promoted by the landowner.</li> <li>Achievability</li> <li>The promoters of the site have not specified any issues regarding the viability in developing the site.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Potential Density         <ul> <li>Landowner Proposed DPH</li> <li>15-25</li> <li>Indicative Dwelling Range</li> <li>27</li> <li>Indicative DPH</li> <li>15-25</li> <li>Indicative Dwelling Range</li> <li>27</li> <li>Indicative DPH</li> <li>15-25</li> <li>Indicative Dwelling Range</li> <li>27</li> </ul> </li> <li>Indicative DPH</li> <li>15-25</li> <li>Indicative Dwelling Range</li> <li>27</li> <li>Indicative DPH</li> <li>52</li> <li>Indicative Dwelling Range</li> </ul> <li>Posers x 6-10 years x 11-15 years 16+ years</li> <li>Conclusion</li> <li>The site is deemed suitable for residential development subject to appropriate mitigation measure to address the risk of surface water flooding to the east site. Development would also need to tak into consideration the heritage assets within the vicinity of the site. The site is both available and the sterime of the site i</li>		
Availability (ownership/legal issues)         The site is in single ownership and is being promoted by the landowner.         Achievability         The promoters of the site have not specified any issues regarding the viability in developing the site.         Potential Density         Landowner Proposed DPH       23         Landowner Proposed DPH       15-25         Indicative DPH       15-25         Phasing       0-5 years         0-5 years       x         6-10 years       x         11-15 years       16+ years         Conclusion       The site is deemed suitable for residential development subject to appropriate mitigation measure to address the risk of surface water flooding to the east site. Development would also need to tak into consideration the heritage assets within the vicinity of the site. The site is both available and	<ul> <li>HCC Minerals &amp; Waste state prior to non-mineral develop impacts of the nearby waste</li> <li>HCC Highways have stated significant concern that Loca</li> <li>Settlement Hierarchy (Core</li> </ul>	e encourage opportunistic extraction of minerals for use on site oment. Any development must acknowledge and mitigate the e facility, in the Design and Access Statement. that Site PCS25, which is located within the site, presents al Transport Policy could be met due to the site's location. Strategy, 2011): The site is partially within and partially
The site is in single ownership and is being promoted by the landowner.         Achievability         The promoters of the site have not specified any issues regarding the viability in developing the site.         Potential Density         Landowner Proposed DPH       23       Landowner Proposed Dwelling Range       27         Indicative DPH       15-25       Indicative Dwelling Range       18-3         Phasing       O-5 years       x       6-10 years       x       11-15 years       16+ years         Conclusion         The site is deemed suitable for residential development subject to appropriate mitigation measure to address the risk of surface water flooding to the east site. Development would also need to tak into consideration the heritage assets within the vicinity of the site. The site is both available and	, ,	
Achievability         The promoters of the site have not specified any issues regarding the viability in developing the site.         Potential Density         Landowner Proposed DPH       23         Landowner Proposed DPH       23         Indicative DPH       15-25         Indicative DPH       15-25         Indicative Dential Density       16+ years         0-5 years       x         6-10 years       x         11-15 years       16+ years         Conclusion       The site is deemed suitable for residential development subject to appropriate mitigation measure to address the risk of surface water flooding to the east site. Development would also need to tak into consideration the heritage assets within the vicinity of the site. The site is both available and		
The promoters of the site have not specified any issues regarding the viability in developing the site.         Potential Density         Landowner Proposed DPH       23       Landowner Proposed Dwelling Range       27         Indicative DPH       15-25       Indicative Dwelling Range       18-3         Phasing       0-5 years       x       6-10 years       x       11-15 years       16+ years         Conclusion       The site is deemed suitable for residential development subject to appropriate mitigation measure to address the risk of surface water flooding to the east site. Development would also need to tak into consideration the heritage assets within the vicinity of the site. The site is both available and		
Potential Density         Landowner Proposed DPH       23       Landowner Proposed Dwelling Range       27         Indicative DPH       15-25       Indicative Dwelling Range       18-3         Phasing       0-5 years       x       6-10 years       x       11-15 years       16+ years         Conclusion       The site is deemed suitable for residential development subject to appropriate mitigation measure to address the risk of surface water flooding to the east site. Development would also need to take into consideration the heritage assets within the vicinity of the site. The site is both available and		pecified any issues regarding the viability in developing the
Landowner Proposed DPH       23       Landowner Proposed Dwelling Range       27         Indicative DPH       15-25       Indicative Dwelling Range       18-3         Phasing       0-5 years       x       6-10 years       x       11-15 years       16+ years         Conclusion       The site is deemed suitable for residential development subject to appropriate mitigation measure to address the risk of surface water flooding to the east site. Development would also need to take into consideration the heritage assets within the vicinity of the site. The site is both available and		
Indicative DPH       15-25       Indicative Dwelling Range       18-3         Phasing       0-5 years       x       6-10 years       x       11-15 years       16+ years         O-5 years       x       6-10 years       x       11-15 years       16+ years         Conclusion       The site is deemed suitable for residential development subject to appropriate mitigation measure to address the risk of surface water flooding to the east site. Development would also need to take into consideration the heritage assets within the vicinity of the site. The site is both available and		
Phasing         0-5 years       x       6-10 years       x       11-15 years       16+ years         Conclusion         The site is deemed suitable for residential development subject to appropriate mitigation measure to address the risk of surface water flooding to the east site. Development would also need to take into consideration the heritage assets within the vicinity of the site. The site is both available and		
0-5 years         x         6-10 years         x         11-15 years         16+ years           Conclusion         The site is deemed suitable for residential development subject to appropriate mitigation measure to address the risk of surface water flooding to the east site. Development would also need to take into consideration the heritage assets within the vicinity of the site. The site is both available and		15-25Indicative Dwelling Range18-30
<b>Conclusion</b> The site is deemed suitable for residential development subject to appropriate mitigation measure to address the risk of surface water flooding to the east site. Development would also need to tak into consideration the heritage assets within the vicinity of the site. The site is both available and		
The site is deemed suitable for residential development subject to appropriate mitigation measure to address the risk of surface water flooding to the east site. Development would also need to tak into consideration the heritage assets within the vicinity of the site. The site is both available and		x 11-15 years 16+ years
to address the risk of surface water flooding to the east site. Development would also need to tak into consideration the heritage assets within the vicinity of the site. The site is both available and		lential development subject to appropriate mitigation measures
into consideration the heritage assets within the vicinity of the site. The site is both available and		
achievable. The site is deemed deliverable.	achievable. The site is deemed deliv	
Suitable Yes Available Yes Achievable Yes	Suitable Yes A	vailable Yes Achievable Yes




• AONB	outside the assessment area would result in at least high					
	harm to the Green Belt.					
	Historic Environment: There are no heritage assets within					
	or in the vicinity of the site. The Heritage Impact Assessment					
	states that development of Site ACFS12, which the site is					
	partially located within, would have a neutral impact on the					
Dhusiaal Canatasiatas	historic environment.					
Physical Constraints:	• Flood Zone: The site is within Flood Zone 1.					
Access	• Surface Water Flood Risk: The site is at negligible risk of					
Flood Zone	surface water flooding.					
Surface Water Flooding	• <b>GSPZ</b> : The site is in GSPZ2.					
Groundwater Flooding	• Access: The main access to site is proposed from Downer					
Groundwater Source	Drive or Dawes Lane. HCC Highways state access from					
Protection Zone (GSPZ)	Dawes Lane will constrain scale of development due to					
Contamination	widths and existing traffic levels, along with impacts to					
Noise	vulnerable road users.					
Air Quality						
Potential Environmental	The Landscape Sensitivity Assessment classifies Site					
Impacts:	ACFS12, which the site is partially located within, as having					
Landscape Character	medium-low sensitivity to built development.					
Air Quality (AQMA)	The Landscape Sensitivity Assessment classifies the site as					
Local Wildlife Site	having a low sensitivity to the historic character.					
Local Nature Reserve	• <b>TPO:</b> Trees along the eastern boundary, to the south of the					
• SSSI	site and at the north-western corner are covered by TPOs					
Ancient Woodland	(TPO255).					
Tree Preservation Order	• <b>AONB:</b> The Chilterns AONB is located to the west of the site,					
Ancient/Veteran Tree	approximately 160m from the western boundary of the site.					
Further Constraints/Considera						
	ne site is bordered by trees, illuminating trees should be avoided.					
- · ·	ere is constraint with the existing highway with no pedestrian					
-	Right of Way would not mitigate this unless it is to an adoptable					
standard.						
<b>u i</b>	hout a suitable walking route to nearby services, allocation of this					
site would be unsound a						
	fore Strategy, 2011): The site is located in the village of Sarratt.					
Availability (ownership/legal is						
The site is being promoted on be	ehalt of the landowner.					
Achievability						
•	not specified any issues regarding the viability in developing the					
site.						
Potential Density	10-15 Landowner Proposed Dwelling Range 8-12					
Indicative DPH	15-25Indicative Dwelling Range12-20					
Phasing						
0-5 years x 6-10 y	ears 11-15 years 16+ years					
Conclusion	on Accomment (CTAA) concluded that for the period 2016 _ 2021					
	on Assessment (GTAA) concluded that for the period 2016 – 2031					
-	ded for households who met the definition of 'Traveller', three					
-	meet the needs of Travelling Showpeople and that there was no					
requirement for transit sites in th	e District. The additional needs identified for 'Traveller' households					


arose from sites which had temporary planning permission at the time of the assessment, both of which have since been granted permanent planning permission. The identified needs of those households have therefore been met and will continue to be met through safeguarding the existing sites. Future needs for plots for Travelling Showpeople can be accommodated within the existing authorised sites, and therefore there is no need to allocate further sites. The Fir Trees site will therefore continue to be safeguarded for its existing use.

The Stage 1 Green Belt Review concludes that Sarratt should remain washed over by the Green Belt and states that modest development through infill would not necessarily damage its relationship with the Green Belt. Land in the Green Belt in Sarratt was not assessed as part of the Stage 2 Green Belt Review; the Stage 2 Green Belt Review states that the release of any land outside the assessment area would result in at least high harm to the Green Belt. It is not considered that the benefits of housing development as a result of releasing the site from the Green Belt would outweigh harm to the Green Belt when considering the washed-over status of Sarratt. The site is therefore considered to be unsuitable for residential allocation. One of the proposed uses of the site is for affordable housing (i.e. a rural exception site). Whilst it is considered that Sarratt should remain washed over by the Green Belt, the draft Affordable Housing policy allows for the provision of limited affordable housing for local community needs (rural exception sites) in Sarratt.


SuitableNoAvailableYesAchievableYes	
-------------------------------------	--



<ul> <li>Groundwater Flooding</li> <li>Groundwater Source Protection Zone (GSPZ)</li> <li>Contamination</li> <li>Noise</li> <li>Air Quality</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>between 0</li> <li>GSPZ: Th</li> <li>Access: A is off Hillto existing re The promo widened a two 2m for Council's constraint traffic leve</li> </ul>	0.025m and 0.5m e site is in GSPZ access into the site p Road. The access sidential dwelling oter has stated th nd upgraded to a otways in accorda standards. HCC H the scale of develose ls, along with imp	Groundwater leve below the surface 3. te is taken from H ess would be shar s that are situated at the existing acc to a 4.8m minor a ance with Hertford Highways state To opment due to wi bacts to vulnerable lway bridge to the	ed betv I on Hill ess wo access I shire Co ms Lan dths and e road u	irm which veen the top Farm. uld be road with ounty ie may d existing
Potential Environmental			Assessment class		e site as
Impacts:			tivity to built deve		
Landscape Character	_		Assessment class	-	
Air Quality (AQMA)			ne historic charact		5 5110 45
Local Wildlife Site	naving a k			01.	
Local Nature Reserve					
SSSI					
Ancient Woodland					
Tree Preservation Order					
Ancient/Veteran Tree					
Further Constraints/Consideration	ations:				
<ul> <li>The Minerals Planning A Resource Assessment ( extraction can be impler</li> <li>Settlement Hierarchy (C at the edge of the Toms Settlement Hierarchy.</li> <li>Availability (ownership/legal is</li> </ul>	MRA) to investi mented during p core <i>Strategy, 2</i> Lane settlemer	gate and evaluate roposed develop 011): The site is le	e whether opportu ment. ocated partially wi	nistic m thin and	iineral d partially
The promoter is a housebuilder		unation with the ai	ingle landowner e	both th	no aita
and the access road. The promote the plan period). The site is there	oter would look	o deliver the site			
Achievability					
The promoters of the site have r site.	not specified any	/ issues regardino	g the viability in de	evelopin	ig the
Potential Density					
Landowner Proposed DPH	27		posed Dwelling R	ange	101
Indicative DPH	30-50	Indicative Dwel	ling Range		110-185
Phasing	<b>-</b> -	44 45	40.		
0-5 years x 6-10 y	ears	11-15 years	16+ y	ears	
Conclusion	Proop Dalt and :	o not loosted with	in or of the edge	of a big	hor tic -
The site is washed over by the C settlement or an inset village. Ha is considered to be at least high harm to the Green Belt, if releas development. The site is consider	arm to the Gree and the site is r ed. It is therefor	n Belt of releasing non-strategic. Allo re considered uns	g land on which th ocating the site wo suitable for resider	e site is uld not ntial	located
Suitable No	Available	Yes	Achievable	Yes	



- Croundwater Severe	dovelopm	nt due te the rea	d width and exist	ing traffic		
<ul> <li>Groundwater Source Protection Zone (GSPZ)</li> </ul>			o vulnerable road			
<ul> <li>Contamination</li> </ul>			way bridge to the			
<ul> <li>Noise</li> </ul>			n close proximity			
Air Quality		te PCS61) is on				
Potential Environmental		,	Assessment class	sifies the site as		
Impacts:			built development			
Landscape Character			Assessment class			
Air Quality (AQMA)			itivity to the histo			
Local Wildlife Site			ld in close proxim			
Local Nature Reserve	of the site	(Site PCS61) is a	a Local Wildlife Si	te.		
SSSI						
Ancient Woodland						
Tree Preservation Order						
Ancient/Veteran Tree						
Further Constraints/Considerat						
HCC Ecology state biodivers				P.		
HCC Highways state maximi				ncluding		
enhanced (light control) cros	• •			rala Dagaurag		
<ul> <li>The Minerals Planning Author Assessment (MRA) to invest</li> </ul>						
be implemented during propo						
Settlement Hierarchy (Core S			e of any defined	settlement but		
is in close proximity to the ed	lge of the Toms	Lane settlement	which is classified	d as an "Other		
Settlement" in the Settlemen	t Hierarchy. The	Village of Bedm	ond is located ap	proximately		
175m to the east of the site.						
Availability (ownership/legal iss						
The site is in single ownership and <b>Achievability</b>	a is being promo	ted by a nouseb	ulider.			
The promoters of the site have no	t specified any i	scupe regarding	bo viability in dov	veloping the		
site.	a specified any i	ssues regarding		reloping the		
Potential Density						
Landowner Proposed DPH	6-9	Landowner Pro	posed Dwelling R	ange 20-30		
Indicative DPH	15-25	Indicative Dwel		50-83		
Phasing						
0-5 years x 6-10 yea	ars x	11-15 years	16+ yea	ars		
Conclusion		· · ·				
The site is washed over by the Gr	een Belt and is	not located within	or at the edge of	f a higher tier		
settlement or an inset village. Har						
is considered to be at least high a						
harm to the Green Belt, if released			table for resident	ial development		
which would warrant release of the site from the Green Belt.						
<u>Rural Exception Sites</u> The draft Affordable Housing Policy allows for rural exception sites within and immediately adjacent						
	ov allows for run	al exception cites	within and immo	diately adjacant		
The draft Affordable Housing Polic						
The draft Affordable Housing Polic to the village core areas of Bedmo	ond and Sarratt.	The site is not a	djacent to the villa	age core of		
The draft Affordable Housing Polic	ond and Sarratt.	The site is not a	djacent to the villa	age core of		




<ul> <li>Groundwater Flooding</li> <li>Groundwater Source Protection Zone (GSPZ)</li> <li>Contamination</li> <li>Noise</li> <li>Air Quality</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>be required between residential development and the Main River.</li> <li>Surface Water Flood Risk: The site is at negligible risk surface water flooding.</li> <li>Groundwater Flood Risk: Across the majority of the site groundwater levels of the site are between 0.025m and 0.5m below the surface. Areas to the north of the site hav groundwater levels at or very near (within 0.025m) to the surface.</li> <li>GSPZ: The site is in GSPZ2.</li> <li>Access: HCC Highways state clarification over access onto A41 would be needed as the site location may be challenging.</li> <li>Noise: Noise issues caused by the site's proximity to the</li> </ul>	e, ve			
Potential Environmental Impacts:         Landscape Character         Air Quality (AQMA)         Local Wildlife Site         Local Nature Reserve         SSSI         Ancient Woodland         Tree Preservation Order         Ancient/Veteran Tree	<ul> <li>A41 may have an impact on the site and its future occupiers.</li> <li>The Landscape Sensitivity Assessment classifies the site as having a medium-high sensitivity to built development</li> <li>The Landscape Sensitivity Assessment classifies the site as having a high sensitivity to the historic character.</li> <li>Local Wildlife Site: There is a Local Wildlife Site adjace to the east of the site.</li> </ul>				
Further Constraints/Consideration	ns'				
<ul> <li>HCC Ecology state illuminating</li> <li>HCC Highways state the site is local facilities and services according to the settlement Hierarchy.</li> <li>Langleybury and The Grove is</li> </ul>	waterway and margins should be avoided. in a poor location for sustainable development with minimal essible by sustainable modes of transport. s located outside of any settlement included in the Settlement ocated to the east of the site.				
Availability (ownership/legal issu					
	the site is being promoted by the landowner.				
Achievability					
	specified any issues regarding the viability in developing the				
site. Potential Density					
Landowner Proposed DPH	42-50 Landowner Proposed Dwelling Range 25-30	0			
Indicative DPH	25-30 Indicative Dwelling Range 15-1				
		0			
Phasing0-5 yearsx6-10 years					
	s 11-15 years 16+ years	_			
Conclusion	on Polt and is not logated within or at the advector high and the				
,	en Belt and is not located within or at the edge of a higher tier				
settlement or an inset village. The site is in an unsustainable location and it is considered that the scale of development which the site could support would be insufficient to provide the level of					
	e location sustainable. In addition, it is not considered that				
-	ractically achievable or appropriate on the site given the				
	The Main River to the east and the adjacency of the A41 dual-				
	ngs would need to be sufficiently set back for for both safety ar	nd			
	nsidered unsuitable for residential development.	u.			
	vailable Yes Achievable Yes				

Site Ref	Address	Settlement	Site Area (ha)
NSS11a	Land at Sarratt, Sarratt Ro	oad Sarratt	5.4
Allot Gdns		Pooh.Corner	
0 30 60	120 180 Meters		N Contains OS data © Crown Copyright and database right 2022
Site Descriptio			Ordnance Survey 100018686
	ed to the east of Sarratt village a	nd is comprised of areenfield	d land in agricultural
use. To the nort development alo	h of the site is a garden nursery a ong Church Lane. To the south, e d to the north-east. Sarratt Road	and grounds and beyond this east and west of the site is ag	s there is residential gricultural land. The
Use(s) Propose	ed Residential Business hu	ub (offices) with potential pro community café)	
Planning Histo			
	vant planning history on the site.		
Suitability	• •		
• AONB	sets Listed not assets that Sall Subseq not assets the State any land least hig • Historic Area is site. Th Listed E the nort would li	Belt: The Stage 1 Green Bel rratt should remain washed o uently, land within the Green essed as part of the Stage 2 age 2 Green Belt Review stat d outside the assessment are gh harm to the Green Belt. c Environment: Sarratt (The located in close proximity to e Conservation Area contain Buildings and Locally Listed B th-west of the site. A detailed kely be required as part of a	over by the Green Belt. n Belt in Sarratt was Green Belt Review. tes that the release of ea would result in at e Green) Conservation the north-east of the is a number of Grade II Buildings, located to d heritage assessment ny proposals.
<ul> <li>Physical Const</li> <li>Access</li> <li>Flood Zone</li> <li>Surface Wa</li> <li>Groundwate</li> </ul>	ter Flooding • Surface runs thr • GSPZ: • Access	Zone: The site is in Flood Zo e Water Flood Risk: A surfa rough the centre of the site. The site is in GSPZ2. s: The site is accessible from otway along Sarratt Road. H	ace water flow path n Sarratt Road. There


	-			
<ul> <li>Groundwater Source Protection Zone (GSPZ)</li> <li>Contamination</li> <li>Noise</li> </ul>	pedestr nearby	ian provision and	he existing highway without suitable wa ation of the site wo d.	lking routes to
Air Quality				
Potential Environmental	• The La	ndscape Sensitivit	y Assessment class	sifies the site
Impacts:			ty to built developm	
Landscape Character			y Assessment class	
Air Quality (AQMA)			ty to the historic cha	
<ul> <li>Local Wildlife Site</li> </ul>			NB is located to the	
<ul> <li>Local Nature Reserve</li> </ul>	site.			
<ul> <li>SSSI</li> </ul>	Site.			
<ul> <li>Ancient Woodland</li> </ul>				
Tree Preservation Order				
Ancient/Veteran Tree				
Further Constraints/Consideratio				
HCC Ecology state biodiver				
<ul> <li>HCC Highways state New F</li> </ul>				
to road widths and existing	traffic levels,	along with impact	s to vulnerable road	d users.
<ul> <li>The Minerals Planning Auth</li> </ul>				
Resource Assessment (MR	RA) to investig	ate and evaluate	whether opportunis	tic mineral
extraction can be implement	nted during pr	oposed developm	ent.	
<ul> <li>Settlement Hierarchy (Core</li> </ul>	e Strategy, 20	11): The site is loo	cated partially at the	e edge of the
village of Sarratt.	0,1	,		Ū
<ul> <li>A public right of way runs a</li> </ul>	long the sout	hern boundary of	the site and then di	agonally
through to the north of the s				0
Availability (ownership/legal issu	ies)			
HD602292 – pending first registration		eaistrv		
Achievability				
The promoters of the site have not	specified any	issues regarding	the viability in deve	loping the
site.	opeenied any	loodoo rogaranig		ioping alo
Potential Density				
	13	Landauman Dran	osed Dwelling Ran	
I andowner Pronosed DPH				71 or
Landowner Proposed DPH				
Indicative DPH	15-20	Indicative Dwellin		ge 71 80-108
Indicative DPH Phasing	15-20	Indicative Dwellin	ng Range	80-108
Indicative DPH Phasing 0-5 years x 6-10 years	15-20			80-108
Indicative DPH Phasing 0-5 years x 6-10 years Conclusion	15-20 s x	Indicative Dwellin	ng Range 16+ year	80-108 s
Indicative DPH Phasing 0-5 years x 6-10 years Conclusion The Stage 1 Green Belt Review cor	15-20 s x ncludes that \$	Indicative Dwellin 11-15 years Sarratt should rem	ng Range 16+ year ain washed over by	80-108 s / the Green
Indicative DPH         Phasing         0-5 years       x       6-10 years         Conclusion         The Stage 1 Green Belt Review cor         Belt and states that modest develop	15-20 s x ncludes that \$	Indicative Dwellin 11-15 years Sarratt should rem h infill would not n	ng Range 16+ year ain washed over by ecessarily damage	80-108 s / the Green its
Indicative DPH         Phasing         0-5 years       x       6-10 years         Conclusion         The Stage 1 Green Belt Review cor         Belt and states that modest develop         relationship with the Green Belt. La	15-20 s x ncludes that s pment throug nd in the Gre	Indicative Dwellin 11-15 years Sarratt should rem h infill would not n en Belt in Sarratt	ng Range 16+ year ain washed over by ecessarily damage was not assessed a	80-108 s the Green its is part of the
Indicative DPH         Phasing         0-5 years       x       6-10 years         Conclusion         The Stage 1 Green Belt Review cor         Belt and states that modest develop         relationship with the Green Belt. La         Stage 2 Green Belt Review; the States	15-20       s     x       ncludes that \$       pment throug       ind in the Greater \$       age 2 Green \$	Indicative Dwellin 11-15 years Sarratt should rem h infill would not n en Belt in Sarratt Belt Review states	ng Range 16+ year ain washed over by ecessarily damage was not assessed a that the release of	80-108 s the Green its is part of the any land
Indicative DPHPhasing0-5 yearsx6-10 yearsConclusionThe Stage 1 Green Belt Review corBelt and states that modest developrelationship with the Green Belt. LaStage 2 Green Belt Review; the Stateoutside the assessment area would	15-20       s     x       ncludes that \$       pment throug       ind in the Gre       age 2 Green I       I result in at le	Indicative Dwellin 11-15 years Sarratt should rem h infill would not n en Belt in Sarratt Belt Review states east high harm to	ng Range 16+ year ain washed over by ecessarily damage was not assessed a that the release of the Green Belt. It is	80-108 s v the Green its is part of the any land not
Indicative DPHPhasing0-5 yearsx6-10 yearsConclusionThe Stage 1 Green Belt Review cor Belt and states that modest develop relationship with the Green Belt. La Stage 2 Green Belt Review; the Sta outside the assessment area would considered that the benefits of house	15-20       s     x       ncludes that \$       pment throug       ind in the Gre       age 2 Green I       I result in at lesing developr	Indicative Dwellin 11-15 years Sarratt should rem h infill would not n en Belt in Sarratt Belt Review states east high harm to nent as a result of	ng Range 16+ year ain washed over by ecessarily damage was not assessed a that the release of the Green Belt. It is releasing the site f	80-108 s v the Green its is part of the any land not rom the
Indicative DPHPhasing0-5 yearsx6-10 yearsConclusionThe Stage 1 Green Belt Review cor Belt and states that modest develop relationship with the Green Belt. La Stage 2 Green Belt Review; the Sta outside the assessment area would considered that the benefits of hous Green Belt would outweigh harm to	s x ncludes that s pment throug age 2 Green f I result in at le sing developr the Green B	Indicative Dwellin 11-15 years Sarratt should rem h infill would not n en Belt in Sarratt Belt Review states east high harm to nent as a result of elt when consider	ain washed over by ecessarily damage was not assessed a that the release of the Green Belt. It is releasing the site fing ng the washed-ove	80-108 s v the Green its is part of the any land not rom the
Indicative DPHPhasing0-5 yearsx6-10 yearsConclusionConclusionThe Stage 1 Green Belt Review corBelt and states that modest developrelationship with the Green Belt. LaStage 2 Green Belt Review; the Stateoutside the assessment area wouldconsidered that the benefits of housGreen Belt would outweigh harm toSarratt. The site is therefore considered	s x ncludes that s pment throug age 2 Green f I result in at le sing developr the Green B	Indicative Dwellin 11-15 years Sarratt should rem h infill would not n en Belt in Sarratt Belt Review states east high harm to nent as a result of elt when consider	ng Range 16+ year ain washed over by ecessarily damage was not assessed a that the release of the Green Belt. It is releasing the site fi ng the washed-ove ential allocation.	80-108 s v the Green its is part of the any land not rom the

Site Ref	Address		Settlement	Site Area (ha)
NSS11b	Land at Sarratt, N	ew Road, Sarratt	Sarratt	0.7
venswood Fa		121m		
0 20 40 80	120 Meters			Contains OS data © Crown Copyright and database njhl 2022 Ordnance Survey 100018686
Site Description			~	
The site is located to use. To the north-eas along Church Lane. Sheds that are used further ribbon develo and opposite across	st of the site there is for employment are pment beyond a sm Church Lane is agr	s residential develop also to the north ea nall area of woodlan icultural land.	orised of greenfield lar oment in the form of rik ast. To the south west d, a pub and church. ٦	obon development of Parcel B is Fo the south east
Use(s) Proposed	Re	sidential (including p	provision of self-build p	olots)
Planning History	nlopping bistoms	the eite		
There is no relevant <b>Suitability</b>	planning history on			
<ul> <li>Policy Constraints:</li> <li>Green Belt</li> <li>Heritage Assets Buildings</li> <li>Conservation Are</li> <li>Historic Park and</li> <li>AONB</li> </ul>	ea I Garden •	that Sarratt should Subsequently, land not assessed as pa The Stage 2 Green any land outside th least high harm to <b>Historic Environn</b> (Church End) Cons of Grade II Listed E	<b>nent:</b> To the south of t servation Area which c Buildings and Locally L	by the Green Belt. It in Sarratt was en Belt Review. Nat the release of ould result in at the site is Sarratt contains a number Listed Buildings.
<ul> <li>Physical Constraint</li> <li>Access</li> <li>Flood Zone</li> <li>Surface Water Flo</li> <li>Groundwater Flo</li> <li>Groundwater Son Protection Zone</li> <li>Contamination</li> <li>Noise</li> </ul>	ooding • oding • urce •	Surface Water Flor surface water floor Groundwater Floor GSPZ: The site is if Access: The site of HCC Highways stat highway has no pe	od Risk: (SFRA)	at negligible risk of m New Road. hts is the existing I without suitable


	F					
<ul> <li>Air Quality</li> <li>unsound and unsupported. The Public right of Way would not mitigate this unless it is to an adoptable standard. There may be opportunity for pedestrian/cycle access from the North through to Church Lane.</li> </ul>						
Potential Environmental	The Land	scape Sensitivity Assessme	nt classifies the site			
Impacts:		a medium-high sensitivity to				
Landscape Character     The Landscape Sensitivity Assessment classifies the site						
Air Quality (AQMA)		a medium sensitivity to the				
Local Wildlife Site		he site's western boundary i				
Local Nature Reserve	Chilterns					
<ul> <li>SSSI</li> </ul>	Onitorno	AGNE.				
Ancient Woodland						
Tree Preservation Order						
Ancient/Veteran Tree						
Further Constraints/Consideration						
<ul> <li>HCC Highways state Sarra and existing traffic levels a</li> <li>The Minerals Planning Aut</li> </ul>	itt Road may co long with impac hority would rec	net gain would be required. Instrain the scale of developr its to vulnerable road users. Quest policy wording to requir	re a Minerals			
		te and evaluate whether opp	onumistic mineral			
extraction can be impleme	• •					
		ts for self-build/custom-build				
		d/custom-build plots in Three				
	e Strategy, 201	1): The site is located at the e	edge of the village of			
Sarratt.						
Availability (ownership/legal issu						
HD602292 – pending first registrat	on on Land Re	gistry				
Achievability						
The promoters of the site have not	specified any is	ssues regarding the viability i	n developing the			
site.						
Potential Density						
Landowner Proposed DPH 13		Landowner Proposed Dwelling Range	9 (including 5 self- build plots)			
Indicative DPH 15-2	0	Indicative Dwelling Range	11-14			
Phasing						
0-5 years x 6-10 year	s x	11-15 years 16	6+ years			
Conclusion	•					
The Stage 1 Green Belt Review co	ncludes that Sa	arratt should remain washed	over by the Green			
Belt and states that modest develo						
relationship with the Green Belt. La						
Stage 2 Green Belt Review; the St						
outside the assessment area would						
considered that the benefits of hou						
Green Belt would outweigh harm to						
Sarratt. The site is therefore consid						
	vailable	Yes/No Achievabl				



Potential Environmental Impacts:         Landscape Character         Air Quality (AQMA)         Local Wildlife Site         Local Nature Reserve         SSSI         Ancient Woodland         Tree Preservation Order         Ancient/Veteran Tree         Further Constraints/Consideration         HCC Highways state on provision and without su unsound and unsupport an adoptable standard.         HCC Ecology state biod to NSS3 with similar hat         The Minerals Planning A Resource Assessment (	<ul> <li>40 dwellings scale of dev required. H0 for vehicle a meeting any acceptable f</li> <li>The Landsc having a hig</li> <li>The Landsc having a me</li> <li>Tree Prese covered by a</li> </ul>	s. The road is rou elopment, improv CC Highways cor ccess and state standard. Share for any further de ape Sensitivity A h sensitivity to be ape Sensitivity A dium sensitivity f roation Order: A a Tree Preservat ts is the existing ites to nearby se ght of Way would net gain would b nould not be illum quest policy word	ssessment classi uilt development. ssessment classi to the historic cha il woodland on th ion Order (TPO8 highway with no rvices, the alloca I not mitigate this e required. The s hinated. ding to require a	epending ane wou ane uns s is uncle ion would ifies the s aracter. he site is 14). pedestria tion woul site is adj Minerals	g on the Id be uitable ear, not I not be site as site as site as an Id be t is to acent	
<ul> <li>extraction can be impler</li> <li>Settlement Hierarchy (C however it is located on</li> </ul>	ore Strategy, 201	1): The site is ou	tside of any defir		ement,	
Settlement Hierarchy.	are eage of Dean		med as a villay			
There is a Public Right of	of Way which runs	s through the site	from east to we	st.		
Availability (ownership/legal is	ssues)					
The site is in single ownership a		g promoted by th	ne landowner.			
Achievability						
The promoters of the site have r	ot specified any i	ssues regarding	the viability in de	veloping	the	
site.						
Potential Density		-		_		
Landowner Proposed DPH	N/A	Landowner Pro	posed Dwelling I	≺ange	N/A	
Indicative DPH	20-30	Indicative Dwel	ling Range		11-16	
Phasing						
0-5 years x 6-10 ye	ears	11-15 years	16+ ye	ears		
Conclusion						
The site is entirely covered by pl site is considered to be undelive		l is therefore con	sidered to be una	suitable.	The	
Suitable No	Available	Yes	Achievable	Yes		
	Available	100	Achievable	162		



Physical Constraints:	Flood Zor	e: The site is in F	lood Zono 1				
<ul> <li>Access</li> </ul>				ne site	there		
Flood Zone	<ul> <li>Surface Water Flood Risk: To the south of the site, there are areas at risk of surface water flooding which ranges from</li> </ul>						
Surface Water Flooding	low, medium and high Surface Water Flood Risk.						
Groundwater Flooding	• <b>Groundwater Flood Risk:</b> Groundwater levels of the site are						
<ul> <li>Groundwater Flooding</li> <li>Groundwater Source</li> </ul>		between 0.5m and 5m below the ground surface.					
Protection Zone (GSPZ)	Access: There is existing access to the site through Copper						
<ul> <li>Contamination</li> </ul>		Gardens Way, ac					
<ul> <li>Noise</li> </ul>		e to be shared with					
Air Quality		ad is narrow so wo					
, in Quanty	Highways	state High Elms La	ane is not likely to	be ab	le to		
	accommo	late a large develo	pment without sig	gnificar	nt		
	enhancem	ent.					
Potential Environmental		cape Sensitivity A					
Impacts:		nedium-high sensi					
Landscape Character		cape Sensitivity A					
Air Quality (AQMA)		nedium-high sensit	-				
Local Wildlife Site		ervation Order: T		eservat	tion		
Local Nature Reserve	Orders thr	pughout the site (1	PO332).				
SSSI							
Ancient Woodland							
Tree Preservation Order							
Ancient/Veteran Tree	41a						
Further Constraints/Considera				d no otri	at any		
<ul> <li>Section 106 obligation to alternative form of use of</li> </ul>		nd and woodland	n perpetuity woul	a restri	ict any		
<ul> <li>HCC Highways state if t</li> </ul>		nections providing	permeability to th		th then		
HCC may not support th		nections providing	permeability to ti	10 000			
HCC Highways state op		ance walking route	es between educa	ation fa	cilities		
and the existing settlem					onnaoo		
HCC Ecology state biod				uminati	ing any		
trees in the adjacent wo			·		0,		
Settlement Hierarchy (C	ore Strategy, 20	011): The site is lo	cated at the edge	of the	Key		
Centre of Garston.							
Availability (ownership/legal is							
The site is not owned by the pro				downe	rs and		
have indicated that the site is im	mediately availa	able for developme	ent.				
Achievability			the viebility in the		a the		
The promoters of the site have r site.	iol specified any	issues regarding	the viability in dev	velopin	g the		
Potential Density							
Landowner Proposed DPH	N/A	Landowner Pron	osed Dwelling Ra	nde	N/A		
Indicative DPH	35-50	Indicative Dwellin		inge	130-185		
Phasing	00 00		ig i tuligo		100 100		
0-5 years x 6-10 ye	ears	11-15 years	16+ ye	ars			
Conclusion		1.1.10 900.0					
The site is not considered suitab	le as the develo	pment would put t	oo much strain oi	n the a	ccess		
onto Woodlands Road if brought forward with site CFS3. The section 106 on the site means it is not considered deliverable. Therefore, the site is not deemed to be suitable or available for allocation,							
	e, the site is not	deemed to be su	itable or available	for all	ocation,		
				for all	ocation,		




<ul> <li>Groundwater Source Protection Zone (GSPZ)</li> <li>Contamination</li> <li>Noise</li> <li>Air Quality</li> <li>Potential Environmental Impacts:         <ul> <li>Landscape Character</li> <li>Air Quality (AQMA)</li> <li>Local Wildlife Site</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Access: There is existing vehicular access provision from Parsonage Close at the northern boundary of the site. HCC Highways state access would need further work, including on the suitability of Abbotts Road.</li> <li>The Landscape Sensitivity Assessment classifies the site as having a medium sensitivity to built development.</li> <li>The Landscape Sensitivity Assessment classifies the site as having a high sensitivity to the historic character.</li> <li>Local Wildlife Site: There is a Local Wildlife Site adjacer</li> </ul>						
Local Nature Reserve	to the south west boundary of the site.						
• SSSI							
Ancient Woodland							
Tree Preservation Order							
Ancient/Veteran Tree							
Further Constraints/Consideratio	ns: trees in the surroundings should be avoided and a buffer						
<ul> <li>HCC Highways state policy hod</li> <li>Settlement Hierarchy (Core Stratungley.</li> <li>The site is on the Brownfield La</li> <li>The existing vacant care home 1:1.9 ratio),</li> <li>Availability (ownership/legal issue The site is in single ownership and Achievability</li> </ul>	is comprised of 40 bedrooms (equivalent to 20 dwellings at						
Landowner N/A	Landowner Proposed N/A						
Proposed DPH	Dwelling Range						
Indicative DPHGross: 40-80Indicative Dwelling Range27-53 (gross)Net: 40-80 (accounting for loss of 40 C2 bedrooms, equivalent to 20 C3 dwellings)17-33 (net)							
Phasing							
0-5 years x 6-10 years	s x 11-15 years 16+ years						
Conclusion							
	dential development. Development would need to take into						
consideration the heritage assets within the vicinity of the site and the presence of the adjacent Local Wildlife Site. The site is both available and achievable. The site is deemed deliverable.							
	AvailableYesAchievableYes						

Site Ref	Address	Settlement	Site Area (ha)
NSS15	Land adj. Newlands Park	Bedmond	0.29
	nefield ottage		P Contains OS data © Crown Copyright and database right 2022
			Ordnance Survey 100018686
The site is comprised site, to the east, and greenfield land. The would be accessed.	Bedmond, to the north of the villag I of greenfield land. The site is adj on the remaining sides by a mix o northern boundary of the site is ad	bined by Newlands Park f low-density residential jacent to a car park, thro	, a static caravan properties and
Use(s) Proposed	Residential – Park	Homes	
Planning History			
	planning history on the site.		
Suitability Policy Constraints:	Green Belt: T	he site is located in the	Groop Balt The
<ul> <li>Green Belt</li> <li>Heritage Assets Buildings</li> <li>Conservation Are</li> <li>Historic Park and</li> <li>AONB</li> </ul>	Listed Stage 2 Greer Belt of releasin located) as more ea I Garden I Garden Located within Located within	n Belt Review assessed ng the wider parcel (in w	harm to the Green /hich the site is heritage assets de II Listed and High Street to the
Physical Constraint		The site is in Flood Zone	e 1.
<ul> <li>Access</li> <li>Flood Zone</li> <li>Surface Water Fl</li> <li>Groundwater Flo</li> <li>Groundwater Son Protection Zone</li> <li>Contamination</li> <li>Noise</li> <li>Air Quality</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Surface Wate negligible risk of the north-w</li> <li>Groundwater levels of at lea</li> <li>GSPZ: The sit</li> <li>Access: Ther</li> </ul>	Flood Risk: The major of surface water floodin est corner at low to med Flood Risk: The site hast st 5m below the surface te is in GSPZ3 e is an existing vehicula on the western boundar	ority of site is at g, with a small part lium risk. as groundwater e r access from


Potential Environmental Impacts: • Landscape Character • Air Quality (AQMA) • Local Wildlife Site • Local Nature Reserve • SSSI • Ancient Woodland • Tree Preservation Order	as havin The Lane	g a low sensitivity dscape Sensitivit	y Assessment clas y to built developm y Assessment clas sitivity to the histori	nent. ssifies tl	ne site		
Ancient/Veteran Tree     Further Constraints/Consideration	ns'						
<ul> <li>HCC Highways state the sidevelopment of notable scatched surface provision within or adjacent to find the sidevelopment of notable scatched surface provision within or adjacent to find the sidevelopment of the sidevelopment of</li></ul>	te is a poor loc ale would requi ould not be ac rsity offsetting/ Strategy, 201	ire enhancement ceptable. /net gain would b <i>1):</i> The site is loo	s to the internal ar e required. cated within Bedm	rangem	ents as		
Availability (ownership/legal issu							
The site is in single ownership and	the site is bein	g promoted by th	ne landowner.				
Achievability							
The promoters of the site have not site.	specified any i	ssues regarding	the viability in dev	eloping	the		
Potential Density		ſ					
Landowner Proposed DPH	N/A		posed Dwelling R	ange	N/A		
Indicative DPH	20-30	Indicative Dwel	ling Range		6-9		
Phasing		44.45	40				
0-5 years x 6-10 year	s X	11-15 years	16+ yea	ars			
Conclusion	within the Ore	n Delt and natio	nal naliov states th				
Bedmond is proposed for insetting within the Green Belt and national policy states that development should be directed to villages which are inset within the Green Belt. The site is not adjacent to the proposed inset boundary of Bedmond. Subsequently the site is not considered to be suitable for residential development.							
Suitable No A	vailable	Yes	Achievable	Yes			

Site Ref	Address		Settlement	Site Area (ha)	
NSS16	Sunnyhill Roa	d	West Hyde	0.73	
NSS16 Sunnyhill Road West Hyde 0.73					
Site Description					
The site is locate site is currently in Chalfont Lane to north, east and w Use(s) Proposed Planning Histor	d to the west of We partly in residentia the south, via Sunr rest, with HS2 deve d	est Hyde and south of M al use, as well as a leisu hyhill Road. The site is s lopment taking place to Residential (C3) or she	re use as a spa. It is a urrounded by agricultu the south.	ccessed from ural land to the	
	ant planning history	on the site			
Suitability					
<ul> <li>Policy Constrain</li> <li>Green Belt</li> <li>Heritage Ass Buildings</li> <li>Conservation</li> <li>Historic Park</li> <li>AONB</li> </ul>	ets Listed Area	<ul> <li>Stage 2 Green Bel releasing the parce</li> <li>Historic Environn Buildings located to</li> </ul>	ite is within a parcel as t Review. The harm to el is assessed as mode nent: There are Grade to the north east of the y), and to the east bet lay Cottage).	the Green Belt of erate-high harm. I Listed site (Church of St	
<ul> <li>Physical Constr</li> <li>Access</li> <li>Flood Zone</li> <li>Surface Wate</li> <li>Groundwater</li> <li>Groundwater Protection Zo</li> <li>Contamination</li> <li>Noise</li> <li>Air Quality</li> </ul>	er Flooding Flooding Source one (GSPZ)	<ul> <li>Surface Water Flor surface water floor</li> <li>Groundwater Flor Groundwater levels surface</li> <li>GSPZ: The site is in zone in terms of the groundwater source constrain the densist the Environment A to object in principlication</li> </ul>	site is in Flood Zone 1. od Risk: The site is a ling. od Risk: The whole of s between 0.025m and in GSPZ1, which is the e potential risk of cont e. A site in GSPZ1 can ity, scale and design of gency have stated the e to tall buildings with tion drainage measure	the site has d 05m below the e most sensitive amination to the n significantly of development; y would be likely deep	


Potential Environmental Impacts: • Landscape Character • Air Quality (AQMA) • Local Wildlife Site • Local Nature Reserve • SSSI • Ancient Woodland • Tree Preservation Order • Ancient/Veteran Tree	<ul> <li>prelimin contami would b stage to</li> <li>Access</li> <li>Noise: is imme have ar</li> <li>The Lar as havir</li> <li>The Lar as havir</li> <li>The Lar covering</li> </ul>	ays and undergrou ary risk assessme nation of the site, a e needed, would b support any propo : The site is acces The site is located diately north of a h impact on the site adscape Sensitivity and a low sensitivity	nt to determine w and whether remains be required at the osals on the site. sed from Chalfon to the west of De 1S2 development and its future oc Assessment class to built developm Assessment class to the historic ch servation Order (	whether there is ediation works pre-application at Lane. Enham Way and site which may cupiers. ssifies the site nent. ssifies the site aracter. TPO040)
Further Constraints/Consideratio				
<ul> <li>HCC Highways state the sit unsound allocation.</li> <li>Settlement Hierarchy (Core which is classified as an "O</li> </ul>	strategy, 20	11): The site is loca	ated at the edge	
Availability (ownership/legal issu	es)			
The site is in single ownership and		na promoted on be	half of the landov	wner.
Achievability				
The promoters of the site have not	specified any	issues regarding t	he viability in dev	eloping the
site				
Potential Density		1		
Landowner Proposed DPH	37-75		oosed Dwelling R	
Indicative DPH	35-50	Indicative Dwelli	ing Range	26-37
Phasing				
0-5 years x 6-10 years	S	11-15 years	16+ yea	ars
Conclusion	D. H. 11			
The site is washed over by the Gree				
settlement or an inset village. Alloca				
released. The site is not in a sustair residential development.	iable location	. It is therefore cor	isidered unsultab	
	vailable	Yes	Achievable	Yes
	vailable	165	Achievable	165



<ul> <li>Groundwater Source Protection Zone (GSF</li> <li>Contamination</li> <li>Noise</li> <li>Air Quality</li> <li>Potential Environmental Impacts:</li> <li>Landscape Character</li> </ul>	2Z) la lik ac cc tra tra tra tra tra tra tra tra tra tra	ne track. T cely to caus ccess into to onstrain the affic levels he Landsca aving a me he Landsca	his is a na se capacit the site. H e scale of along wit ape Sensit dium-low ape Sensit	cC High developr h impact tivity Ass sensitivit	rom Windmill H ck contained b especially as ways state Wi nent due to wi s to vulnerable essment class y to built deve essment class	by trees. T the prima indmill Hill dths and <u>e road use</u> sifies the s lopment. sifies the s	This is ary I will existing ers. site as
<ul> <li>Air Quality (AQMA)</li> <li>Local Wildlife Site</li> <li>Local Nature Reserve</li> <li>SSSI</li> <li>Ancient Woodland</li> <li>Tree Preservation Ord</li> <li>Ancient/Veteran Tree</li> <li>Further Constraints/Con</li> </ul>	der				the historic ch		
HCC Highways st		within a p	oor locatio	n for sus	stainable deve	lopment v	vith
<ul> <li>Hoc Highways at minimal local facil pedestrian provisi support its inclusi would not mitigate</li> <li>HCC Ecology stat and trees should</li> <li>Settlement Hierar which is classified</li> <li>There is a public it</li> </ul>	lities and serv ion. Without s on and would e this unless it te biodiversity not be illumina chy (Core Str d as an "Other	ices. One of uitable wal likely be a t is to an ac offsetting/ ated. ategy, 201 Settlemer	of the cons king route n unsound doptable s net gain w 1): The sit nt" in the S	straints is s to neal d allocati tandard. rould be e is loca ettlemer	s the existing I rby services, F on. The Public required and r ted at the edg t Hierarchy.	highway w ICC would Right of nearby wo	vith no d not Way podland
Availability (ownership/				1			
The main part of t     Dacorum Borough     and rights to the a	the site is with n Council. The						
Achievability							
The promoters of the site site.	have not spec	cified any i	ssues rega	arding th	e viability in de	eveloping	the
Potential Density							r
Landowner Proposed DPI	Н	2-3			osed Dwelling	Range	2-3
Indicative DPH		5-10	Indicative	e Dwellir	ng Range		4-8
Phasing		-					1
	6-10 years		11-15 ye	ars	16+ y	ears	
Conclusion							
The site is washed over b	y the Green E	Belt and is i	not located	d within o	or at the edge	of a highe	er tier
settlement or an inset villa	age. Harm to t	he Green I	Belt of rele	easing la	nd on which th	ne site is l	ocated
is considered to be at leas							
harm to the Green Belt, if							
the site and the site is alm	nost entirely co	overed by v	woodland.	It is the	refore conside	red unsui	table for
residential development.	•						
Suitable No	Avail	able	Yes		Achievable	Yes	
	dress			Settlen		Site Are	a (ha)
CFS8d Not	ley Farm, Beo	dmond Roa	ad	Abbots	Langley	11.4	



	be unachievable. An alternative access to Site CFS8c considered was from Love Lane, however this would only provide a through-route to the site through a residential garden and along the northern boundary of Love Lane play area. This is outside the boundary of Site CFS8b and is not considered appropriate. A development of this size would also require two vehicular access points, which is considered to be unachievable.							
Potential Environmental	• TPO: T	here is a TPO al	long the western bound	ary of the				
Impacts:	site.							
Landscape Character			rees: There are severa					
Air Quality (AQMA)			ee in the south-western	area of				
Local Wildlife Site	the site	•						
<ul> <li>Local Nature Reserve</li> <li>SSSI</li> </ul>								
Ancient Woodland								
Tree Preservation Order								
Ancient/Veteran Tree								
Further Constraints/Consideratio	ns:							
<ul> <li>There is a public right of wa</li> <li>Settlement Hierarchy (Core Centre of Abbots Langley.</li> </ul>	Strategy, 20			e Key				
Availability (ownership/legal issu			4h - 1					
The site is in single ownership and t Achievability	ne site is de	ing promoted by	the landowner.					
The promoters of the site have not s	specified any	issues regardin	a the viability in develor	ing the				
site.	peomed any	loodes regarding						
Potential Density								
Landowner Proposed DPH	26-35	Landowner Pro	posed Dwelling Range	300-400				
Indicative DPH	30-40	Indicative Dwe		342-456				
Phasing		,						
0-5 years 6-10 years	s x	11-15 years	16+ years					
	Conclusion							
Although access to the site is not cu								
solutions to this. The site is therefore considered suitable subject to the access issues being								
resolved. Suitable access arrangements and an allocation of eastern fields to East Lane as an extension to Leavesden Country Park and allotment improvements will be required.								



<ul><li>Noise</li><li>Air Quality</li></ul>	grou grou • GSF Zon • Acc How • Nois may • Air • the	Indwater I Ind surfac <b>2:</b> The si e 3. ess: Vehi / Croft. se: Noise / have an Quality: <i>A</i>	y ground surface evels are betwe e. te falls within G cular access to issues caused I impact on the si Air quality issues have an impact	en 0.025n roundwate the site is oy the site te and its s caused b	n and 05 er Sourc availab 's proxir future o by the sit	5m below e Protect le from L nity to th ccupiers te's proxi	iion ittle e M25
Potential Environmental Impacts:         Landscape Character         Air Quality (AQMA)         Local Wildlife Site         Local Nature Reserve         SSSI         Ancient Woodland         Tree Preservation Order         Ancient/Veteran Tree         Further Constraints/Consider         Settlement Hierarchy Centre of Abbots Lang	The states of the states	Landscaț site as ha elopment lium-low s D: There a The area ndary is c ne site are	be Sensitivity As ving a medium-l and the south-w sensitivity. re protected tre of woodland ac overed by a TP( also protected. <i>1):</i> The site is lo	high sensi vest corner es at varic ljacent to t D and tree	tivity to l r of the s ous locat the cent is to the	built site as ha tions acro ral northo east and	aving a oss the ern I west
Availability (ownership/lega							
The site is in single ownership	and the s	ite is bein	g promoted by t	he landow	vner.		
Achievability							
The promoters of the site have	e not spec	ified any i	ssues regarding	the viabil	ity in de	veloping	the
site.							
Potential Density							
Landowner Proposed DPH			Landowner Pr			Range	
Indicative DPH			Indicative Dwe	elling Rang	ge		380
Phasing							
0-5 years 6-10	years	Х	11-15 years		16+ ye	ars	
Conclusion							
The site is deemed suitable fo	r residenti	al develo	oment. Any prop	osals sho	uld take	account	of the
presence of the Woodland and							
surface water flooding and fut							
suitable mitigation where necessary. Noise and air quality issues arising from proximity to the M25							
should also be taken account of. The site is both available and achievable. The remainder of the							
area south of the M25 should be dedicated open space and woodland. The site is deemed to be							
developable.				_			
Suitable Yes	Availa	able	Yes	Achiev	able	Yes	